Measurement of viral load by the automated Abbott real-time HIV-1 assay using dried blood spots collected and processed in Malawi and Mozambique
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Background. The use of dried blood spots (DBS) for HIV-1 viral load quantification can greatly improve access to viral monitoring for HIV-infected patients receiving treatment in resource-limited settings.

Objectives. To evaluate and validate HIV viral load measurement from DBS in sub-Saharan Africa, with a reliable, all-automated, standard commercial assay such as the Abbott m2000.

Methods. A total of 277 DBS were collected in different health centres in Malawi and Mozambique and analysed for viral load determination using the Abbott m2000 assay with the corresponding plasma samples as gold standard. Samples were extracted using the m2000SP automatic extractor and then processed as the plasma samples using the specific 1.0 mL HIV-RNA DBS protocol.

Results. Among samples with detectable HIV-RNA the correlation between viral load obtained from the paired 131 plasma and DBS samples was high (r=0.946). Overall, viral load values between DBS and plasma differed by less than 0.5 log unit in 90.1% of cases and by less than 1 log unit in 100% of cases. Using a threshold of 1 000 copies/mL (defining virological failure in resource-limited settings), sensitivity was 94.2% and specificity 98.6%, and both positive and negative predictive values were high (98.5% and 94.5%, respectively).

Conclusion. DBS extracted and processed using the Abbott automated system can be reliably used in resource-limited setting to diagnose virological failure.


The use of dried blood spots (DBS) has greatly facilitated genetic and metabolic screening of newborns by simplifying sample collection, storage and transport. Measuring the HIV-1 burden from whole blood dried on filter paper provides a suitable alternative for low-technology settings with limited access to laboratory facilities, such as sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Nucleic acids in DBS have been shown to be stable for several months at room temperature, provided the DBS specimens are thoroughly dried and are stored with desiccant. DBS specimens can therefore be collected at remote rural sites and transported to a central or regional testing laboratory without refrigeration. DBS are user-friendly and cost-effective in resource-limited settings, their ability being demonstrated to correctly identify virological failure. DBS use may therefore represent a paradigm shift in accessibility to virological testing for HIV infection. Indeed, the Diagnostic Access Initiative (http://www.unaids.org) signed in Melbourne during the 20th International AIDS Conference in July 2014, specifically focused on ensuring that all people accessing HIV and the prevention of mother-to-child transmission (http://dream.santegidio.org). To date, the DREAM programme is active in ten countries (Mozambique, Malawi, Tanzania, Kenya, Republic of Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Angola and Nigeria) in SSA, with a network of 42 health centres and 20 laboratories. Because of the hub infrastructure used for health centres and laboratories in every country, only those facilities with molecular biology laboratories perform the HIV viral load testing. The possibility of using DBS to deliver samples for HIV viral load may therefore increase the accessibility to the test in rural areas and small settings. In this study, results were analysed according to different thresholds of HIV-RNA in order to develop an HIV viral load testing procedure from DBS that could be implemented in SSA.

Methods

Paired plasma and DBS samples were collected from 119 patients in 20 centres referring to the DREAM Health Centre of Blantyre, Malawi, and from 158 patients in 23 centres referring to the Centro Para Criança, Maputo, Mozambique. The DREAM Programme has been approved by the National AIDS Commission of Malawi and by the Ministério de Saúde – Direcção de Planificação e Cooperação of Mozambique.
Eight hundred microlitres of plasma were used to extract nucleic acids with the Abbott automatic extractor, Sample Preparation System (m2000SP). The nucleic acids extracted by m2000SP were used for real-time amplification with the Abbott m2000RT using the 0.6 mL HIV-RNA protocol. DBS (50 µL of peripheral blood per spot) were air-dried overnight and stored in a plastic bag with desiccant at room temperature. DBS eluate was obtained by incubating two of five spots for each sample with 1.7 mL of Abbott Bulk lysis buffer for 15 minutes at room temperature with constant mixing. The eluate was transferred to a test tube and extracted using the m2000SP automatic extractor with the 1.0 mL HIV-1 RNA DBS Exp V04 protocol. The extracted material was then quantitated as the plasma samples with the real-time PCR Abbott m2000RT using the corresponding 1 mL DBS protocol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

All HIV-RNA values were log10 transformed before analysis. Results reported as not detected and below detection limit (<40 copies/mL) were analysed considering a value of 1.6 log10 HIV-RNA per mL. Results were expressed as means and standard deviations (SDs). Viral load measured in DBS and paired plasma samples were compared by the Pearson correlation analysis. The Bland-Altman method[8] was used for analysis of concordance between the results obtained from plasma and those obtained from DBS. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 22 (IBM, USA).

Results

A total of 277 paired plasma and DBS samples were analysed. Samples were divided according to the HIV-RNA level in plasma: ≤ 2 log10 (n=109), 2 log10 (range 2.11 - 2.99; n=30), 3 log10 (range 3.10 - 3.99; n=81), 4 log10 (range 4.04 - 4.96; n=29) and ≥ 5 log10 (range 5.03 - 6.84; n=28). Samples were analysed after a mean time of 1 week after collection and storage (at room temperature).

Agreement between detectable and undetectable viral load for plasma and DBS was seen in 83.0% of cases. In 16.6% of cases (n=46), HIV-RNA was not detectable in DBS while measurable levels were present in plasma (between 1.76 and 3.83 logs; mean 2.48). One DBS gave a result of 3.54 log10 while the corresponding plasma level was <1.6 log10. In all other cases of undetectable viral load in plasma (n=99), HIV-RNA was not detectable in DBS. The lowest HIV-RNA level detected by DBS was 616 copies/mL.

Using the 40 copies/mL threshold, the test had relatively good sensitivity (74%), high specificity (99%) and a high positive predictive value (99.2%) (Table 1). Negative predictive value was low (68.3%) as an effect of the low rate of detection when plasma HIV-RNA was below 3 log copies/mL. Among samples with detectable HIV-RNA, the correlation between viral load values obtained from the paired 131 plasma and DBS samples was high (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.946 and $R^2=0.895$) (Fig. 1). The mean (SD) difference between the measured viral load in DBS and in plasma was 0.15 ± 0.27 log copies/mL. HIV-RNA levels obtained from DBS were higher than in plasma in 70.2% of the samples. However, this over-quantification was observed mainly in specimens with a plasma viral load of <3 000 copies/mL (mean (SD) difference 0.38 (0.19)), while the difference for samples with a plasma viral load of >3 000 copies/mL was negligible (0.09 ± 0.26). Overall, viral load values between DBS and plasma differed by <0.5 log unit in 90.1% of cases and by <1 log unit in 100%.

Agreement between the two methods was calculated by the Bland-Altman method,[8] in which the differences between individual viral load results from plasma and DBS are plotted against the mean of the two results. In Fig. 2 it can be seen that the data are well scattered over the mean along the overall range of viral load examined and that all

![Fig. 1. Comparison of viral load in DBS and corresponding values in plasma only for samples with detectable viral load.](image)

Table 1. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (95% confidence intervals) of DBS according to the limit of detection of the assay (A) and the threshold of 1 000 copies/mL (B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma</th>
<th>A ≥40 copies/mL</th>
<th>&lt;40 copies/mL</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS</td>
<td>≥40 copies/mL</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Sensitivity 74% (66.9 - 80.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;40 copies/mL</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Specificity 99% (94.5 - 99.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>277</td>
<td></td>
<td>PPV 99.2% (95.8 - 99.9) NPV 68.3% (60.0 - 75.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma</th>
<th>B ≥1 000 copies/mL</th>
<th>&lt;1 000 copies/mL</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS</td>
<td>≥1 000 copies/mL</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Sensitivity 94.2% (88.9 - 97.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1 000 copies/mL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Specificity 98.6% (94.9 - 99.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>277</td>
<td></td>
<td>PPV 98.5% (94.6 - 99.8) NPV 94.5% (89.4 - 97.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV = positive predictive value; NPV = negative predictive value.
but five samples were within the 1.96 SD limits, underlining the good agreement between the two methods.

The detection rate with DBS was 90.1% when plasma levels were >1,000 copies/mL. Categorising the samples according to this 1,000 copies/mL threshold, of the 138 samples with a viral load of >1,000 copies/mL, 8 (5.8%) had a DBS viral load of <1,000 copies/mL and therefore would have been missed if the virological failure threshold had been set at 1,000 copies/mL. Of the 139 samples with a plasma viral load of <1,000 copies/mL, only 2 (1.4%) had an HIV-RNA in DBS of >1,000 copies/mL and could represent false virological failure detection. With the threshold of 1,000 copies/mL, sensitivity was 94.2%, specificity 98.6% and positive and negative predictive values 98.5% and 94.5%, respectively (Table 1). The agreement between DBS and plasma HIV-RNA quantification at the 1,000 copies/mL threshold was high, as demonstrated by the Kappa coefficient value of 0.928 (standard error 0.022, p<0.001).

Discussion

Several reports have been published on the use of DBS for viral load quantification using different methodologies, including the Abbott assay. In the great majority of cases the results were comparable with those obtained from plasma. However, the use of DBS collected and prepared in real-world conditions for viral load monitoring with the automated Abbott assay has been reported in only a few studies. We obtained, from DBS collected and processed by local personnel in Malawi and Mozambique, showed that DBS gave comparable results in respect of the reference method in the range from 3 to 7 logs copies/mL. However, the detection rate below the threshold of 1,000 copies/mL was lower, as reported in other articles, although the lowest plasma RNA value at which DBS RNA was detectable was 616 copies/mL in line with the threshold of 550 copies/mL previously reported for this assay. The modest over-quantification that we observed with DBS can be ascribed to the presence of HIV-DNA and intracellular RNA and seems to have a greater impact on samples with a low viral load (in our study <3,000 copies/mL), as previously reported.

The 2013 HIV treatment guidelines of the World Health Organization indicate a threshold of 1,000 copies/mL of HIV-RNA to define virological failure using plasma, and suggest use of a higher, although undefined, threshold when using DBS. In previous studies performed with the Abbott system, sensitivity for the detection of virological failure at 1,000 copies/mL was between 75% and 100% and specificity was between 82% and 97%. In our study, very high positive and negative predictive values were observed when using a threshold of 1,000 copies/mL, suggesting that even with the use of DBS the threshold of 1,000 copies/mL can provide reliable results, given the specificity of 98.6% at this threshold.

The last systematic review of the use of DBS for monitoring HIV viral load emphasised the need for a standardised approach for sampling, storing and processing DBS samples in order to establish whether DBS could reliably replace plasma specimens to identify virological failure. Our study suggests that DBS on filter paper, stored at room temperature and processed with an automated extractor, followed by real-time quantification of HIV-RNA, could represent a standard to be applied in resource-limited settings.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that HIV-1 quantification from DBS using a standardised commercial assay provides reliable identification of virological failure in SSA.
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