Topical corticosteroids (TCSs) continue to be the mainstay of atopic dermatitis (AD) treatment. For more than four decades TCSs have provided effective flare control by means of their anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, immunosuppressive and vasoconstrictive actions. They suppress the release of inflammatory cytokines and act on a variety of immune cells, including T lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells and their precursors. Various strengths and formulations of TCSs are available. The extent to which they induce cutaneous vasoconstriction and inhibit inflammation corresponds to their potency.

Topical calcineurin inhibitors (TCIs) (pimecrolimus and tacrolimus) are complex macrocyclic compounds that result in selective inhibition of cytokine transcription in activated T cells. TCIs are registered for short-term and non-continuous chronic treatment of moderate to severe AD in immunocompetent patients aged ≥2 years. Systemic corticosteroids are frequently used for short-term therapy of severe AD, but their use is controversial. Complementary/alternative therapies have no proven benefit in AD.

Topical steroids may be used for 10 - 14 days when the dermatitis is active, followed by `holidays`, with emollients only. TCSs may also be used in bursts of 3 - 7 days to treat exacerbations. This rationale may be extended to using potent TCSs for a few days to initiate control, followed by the use of a milder-potency TCS and/or an emollient.²⁷ For chronic lichenified eczema, frequent applications of potent steroids are required for much longer periods. A possible regimen for TCSs as maintenance treatment for stable disease is `weekend use`; when the product, combined with emollients, is applied on weekend days only, while continuing with emollients only during the rest of the week.

The quantity of TCS that should be used is a common practical problem for patients. The fingertip unit is useful: the index finger from distal crease to fingertip approximates 0.5 g. This aids the monitoring of compliance and use. There is no clear evidence that a twice-daily application of TCS is better than a once-daily application. It may be justified to use once-daily corticosteroids as a first step in all patients with AD, thus reducing cost, improving compliance and reducing side-effects.

There is no convincing evidence for the superior clinical efficacy of corticosteroid-antibiotic combination products compared with corticosteroids alone. When the efficacy of TCSs is reduced, it is thought to be related to disease severity rather than corticosteroid resistance.²⁶ There are many challenges regarding TCS use, especially in light of steroid abuse, misuse, phobia and side-effects. Adverse effects are well documented and it is important to recognise the side-effects, such as skin atrophy, telangiectasia, hypopigmentation, steroid acne, hirsutism, rosacea and contact sensitisation to the steroid itself. Skin atrophy is not a problem when TCSs are used correctly.

Uncommon systemic effects are the suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, growth retardation, tachyphylaxis, glaucoma, cataract formation and Cushing's syndrome. Over recent years the risk of adverse effects of TCSs...
has been reduced by optimising application protocols and using newer steroid preparations with improved risk/benefit ratios, e.g. prednicarbate, mometasone furoate, fluticasone and methylprednisolone aceponate. This improved risk/benefit ratio means that the products may be used at a younger age (from the age of four months) and for longer periods (for four weeks continuously in babies and three months in older children and adults). Should these drugs be required at a younger age and for longer periods, as is often the case, the benefit versus risk should be evaluated in each patient and the appropriate formulation carefully selected. Intermittent dosing should be used as far as possible.

The judicious use of TCSs would include short-term appropriate applications as initial monotherapy or in combination with other therapeutic agents that ideally possess complementing mechanisms of action. These drugs could be either systemic agents or topical agents, such as the newer topical calcineurin inhibitors (TCIs).[^31]

### Topical calcineurin inhibitors

TCIs (pimecrolimus and tacrolimus) are complex macrocyclic compounds that result in selective inhibition of cytokine transcription in activated T cells. Pimecrolimus selectively targets T cells and mast cells. In contrast to tacrolimus, pimecrolimus has no effect on the differentiation, maturation and function of dendritic cells. Compared with corticosteroids, TCIs do not affect endothelial cells and fibroblasts and therefore do not induce skin atrophy.

TCIs are registered for short-term and non-continuous chronic treatment of moderate to severe AD in immunocompetent patients aged ≥2 years old.[^31][^11] Clinically, pimecrolimus lowers the incidence of flares and significantly reduces pruritus.

Both pimecrolimus and tacrolimus are safe and effective in reducing the severity of AD symptoms in children and adults.

TCIs are frequently associated with a transient burning sensation of the skin, less so with pimecrolimus than tacrolimus.[^12] TCIs are not associated with skin atrophy and are therefore useful for the face and intertriginous areas. This can be an advantage in long-term use, and tacrolimus ointment therapy additionally reverses corticosteroid-induced skin atrophy.

In January 2006, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) added a boxed warning to TCI labels noting that the long-term safety of these agents has not been established. This warning was in response to widespread off-label use in infants (<2 years) and concerns about a potential cancer risk based on three factors: (i) a shared mechanism of action with systemic calcineurin inhibitors; (ii) animal toxicology studies; and (iii) rare post-marketing case reports of malignancy (skin cancer and lymphomas) ([http://www.fda.gov](http://www.fda.gov)). TCIs are not safe to use in pregnancy or during breastfeeding.

#### Use of phototherapy

When topical modalities fail to control AD, phototherapy is the next option. Narrow-band ultraviolet B (UVB) light is highly effective and has replaced broadband UVB for treating AD. Patients with acutely inflamed AD do not tolerate UV light well. Therefore, the initial treatment includes immunomodulators, such as cyclosporin or mycophenylate mofetil, or immunosuppressives, such as azathioprine or methotrexate. Once the acute inflammation has settled, UVB therapy may be instituted.[^31]

#### Systemic therapy

Narrow-band UVB, methotrexate and cyclosporin remain reasonable first-line systemic treatments for AD, with mycophenylate and azathioprine as second-line options.[^41]

#### Systemic corticosteroids


---

[^11]: Inflammation and edema
[^12]: Preparations with improved risk/benefit protocols and using newer steroid combinations.
Table 3. Advice to patients regarding complementary medicines as treatment for atopic dermatitis

- The safety and efficacy of therapies such as herbal medicines, homeopathy, massage, and food supplements have not been adequately investigated in clinical trials
- Caution should be observed with the use of herbal medicines in children
- Topical corticosteroids are sometimes illegally added to some herbal medications
- Liver toxicity can occur with the use of some Chinese herbal medicines
- Emollient treatment should continue, even when complementary therapies are being used
- There may be improvement with regular massage with emollients
- Patients should inform healthcare professionals if they are using complementary therapies

In the rare instances when these agents are prescribed, we prefer the use of prednisolone or prednisone at 1 - 2 mg/kg/d. To minimise rebound flares or recrudescence of disease after discontinuation of treatment, we favour tapering after initial control or if improvement is seen after the first 1 - 3 weeks, typically tapering over a period of 1 - 4 weeks, depending on the severity and course of the disease.[15]

Antibiotics
Oral antibiotics do not benefit AD when used for skin that is not clinically infected, but infected dermatitis should be treated with penicillinase-resistant penicillins, cephalosporins or clindamycin.[16,17]

Antifungals
Malassezia sympodialis sensitisation plays an important role in AD in adults, especially in patients with head and neck dermatitis. IgE-mediated reactions to the fungus can be demonstrated in such patients. Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) with systemicitraconazole[18,19] and ketokonazole[20] showed significant benefit in such patients.

Intravenous immunoglobulin
This modality may be used as a last resort in children with severe refractory AD, and is supported by at least one RCT.[20]

Interferon gamma
This expensive treatment may be a useful modality for moderate to severe AD in children and adults who have a history of recurrent skin infections with herpes simplex, human papillomavirus or molluscus contagiosum.[20]

Biological drugs
Infliximab, efalizumab, tocilizumab and rituximab have been studied in this regard, but no firm recommendations can be provided at this stage.

PPAR-gamma antagonists (thiazolidinediones)
These antidiabetic drugs also have anti-inflammatory properties, e.g. rosiglitazone, and have been retrospectively shown to be beneficial in AD.[22] They also act by improving skin barrier function and thus may be more effective as preventive treatment.

Complementary/alternative therapies
These treatments may be defined as forms of therapy or examination that have no scientific basis and for which no effective or diagnostic reliability has been demonstrated by scientific methods.[23] Complementary and alternative medicine refers to the phytotherapies (Chinese herbal medicines, Japanese herbal medicines (Kampo), siddha/ayurveda, tea tree oil), biofeedback, stress management, acupuncture, bioresonance treatment, balneotherapy, cleansing of the colon with enemas, massage therapy, autologous blood therapy, Reiki and topical streptococcal application. These modalities are becoming increasingly popular,[24] which is understandable when people are faced with an intractable, incurable, highly symptomatic condition for which conventional medicine seems to be partially beneficial. Approximately 30 - 42.5% of patients with allergies report the use of complementary treatments in Europe.[23,25] These tend to be younger women with a high educational background.[24] No reliable figures or records of treatment methods exist for the treatment of AD by African traditional healers. It is important to advise patients regarding complementary medicines as treatment for AD (Table 3). No benefit from probiotics, prebiotics and symbiotics has been demonstrated.[26]