



Condom failure in South Africa

To the Editor: It was with great interest that we read the recent editorial by Dr Khumalo¹ in which she expressed concern regarding potential condom failure in Africa. The issue of condom failure is certainly important and we were most alarmed by the lack of prevalence data on condom failure in South Africa. In her literature search Dr Khumalo did not find any research on the prevalence of condom failure in Africa aside from that in pregnant women.

We have been conducting HIV/AIDS behavioural surveillance research at a large public health clinic that provides sexually transmitted infection (STI) services in Cape Town and have collected data that can help shed light on this urgent problem. In anonymous behavioural surveys collected from 1 729 men and 470 women receiving STI services we have found that 41% of men and 37% of women have experienced condom failure, defined as a broken, torn, or slipped-off condom. In a subsample of 202 patients who reported condom failure, 12% had used oil-based condom lubricants that are known to degrade latex, such as hand creams, vaseline, or oils. In another separate subsample of 214 patients who had experienced condom failure, 7% reported having practised dry sex, although we do not know if the dry-sex practices were directly associated with condom failure. These rates of 30 - 40% of persons experiencing condom failure are similar to those reported in the US studies cited by Dr Khumalo.^{2,3} Our behavioural surveillance data confirm that condom failure is prevalent in at least some high-risk populations in South Africa and may be of particular concern in the populations at highest risk. The causes of condom failure remain undocumented as we found only a minority of cases potentially attributable to improper use of lubricants or dry-sex practices.

As stated by Dr Khumalo, there are interventions that reduce condom failure and there are now brief counselling interventions that increase condom uptake and proper use in STI patients tested in South Africa.^{4,5} We must also remember that condoms succeed in preventing pregnancy, STI and HIV infection far more often than they fail. We therefore applaud Dr Khumalo's call for more research as well as evidence-based guidelines that include skill-building techniques for improving correct and consistent use of condoms.

Leickness C Simbayi

Human Sciences Research Council
Cape Town

476

Seth C Kalichman

University of Connecticut
USA
seth.k@uconn.edu

1. Khumalo NP. How common is condom failure? *S Afr Med J* 2007; 97:143.

2. Crosby R, DiClemente R, Wingood GM, *et al.* Correlates of condom failure among adolescent males: An exploratory study. *Prev Med* 2005; 41:873-876.
3. Bortot AT, Risser WL, Cromwell PF. Condom use in incarcerated adolescent males: Knowledge and practice. *Sex Transm Dis* 2006; 33(1):5.
4. Simbayi LC, Kalichman SC, Skinner D, *et al.* Theory-based HIV risk reduction counseling for sexually transmitted infection clinic patients in Cape Town, South Africa. *Sex Transm Dis* 2004; 31: 727-733.
5. Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Vermaak R, *et al.* HIV/AIDS risk reduction counseling for alcohol using sexually transmitted infections clinic patients in Cape Town South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* (Epub ahead of print).

Overestimation of the South African HIV incidence using the BED IgG assay?

To the Editor: We thank Rehle *et al.* for their important study of HIV incidence in South Africa,¹ which we read with great interest. We agree with the authors that the incidence of HIV in South Africa is probably extremely high, particularly among young women, and believe that the study will help us focus HIV prevention efforts on appropriate subgroups. We have serious concerns, however, about the applicability of the BED IgG assay to the South African HIV epidemic. In light of recent evidence, we are concerned that Rehle *et al.* have overstated the true absolute incidence of HIV in South Africa.

As the name implies, the BED assay was developed using sequences from HIV subtypes B, D and E.² To compensate for imperfect sensitivity and specificity, Rehle *et al.* use a correction factor based on McDougal *et al.*'s study of subtype B virus.³ Given that the majority of HIV infections considered by Rehle *et al.* were (apparently) of subtype C,¹ the applicability of the McDougal correction, and indeed of the BED assay itself, to these samples is problematic. More questions arise in light of a recent report by Karita *et al.*⁴ that the BED assay does not perform well in subtype C virus infections; investigators found a specificity of 71% (95% confidence interval (CI) 54 - 84%),⁴ substantially different from one estimate of specificity used in the McDougal correction³ (94% for infections more than 360 days in the past). In addition, Karita *et al.* found that using the BED assay with the McDougal correction resulted in overestimation of incidence in prospective Ugandan samples (subtype not available, but probably A and D⁵), reporting a corrected BED incidence of 6.4% and a true incidence of 1.3 - 1.7%.⁴

We are therefore concerned that the incidence figures reported by Rehle *et al.* may be overestimates. If indeed these figures are incorrect, this will make future comparisons with more accurate measures of incidence difficult and could lead to spurious conclusions with regard to the course of the epidemic. Given these concerns and the current UNAIDS recommendation against using the BED assay for incidence estimation,⁶ it would be helpful if the authors clarified their findings with a quantitative sensitivity analysis of their estimates. Until the BED assay has been further validated, we



believe that BED-derived estimates of HIV incidence must be interpreted with caution.

**Daniel Westreich
Audrey Pettifor**

Department of Epidemiology
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
USA
westreic@email.unc.edu

Etienne Karita

Projet San Francisco
Kigali
Rwanda

Matthew Price

International AIDS Vaccine Initiative
New York
USA

Agnes Fiamma

UCLA Program in Global Health
University of California
Los Angeles
USA

Susan Fiscus

Department of Microbiology and Immunology
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
USA

Myron Cohen

Division of Infectious Diseases
School of Medicine
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
USA

1. Rehle T, Shisana O, Pillay V, Zuma K, Puren A, Parker W. National HIV incidence measures – new insights into the South African epidemic. *S Afr Med J* 2007; 97: 194-199.
2. Parekh B, Kennedy S, Dobbs T, et al. Quantitative detection of increasing HIV type 1 antibodies after seroconversion: A simple assay for detecting recent HIV infection and estimating incidence. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2002; 18: 295-307.
3. McDougal JS, Parekh BS, Peterson ML, et al. Comparison of HIV-1 incidence observed during longitudinal follow-up with incidence estimated by cross-sectional analysis using the BED capture enzyme immunoassay. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2006; 22: 945-952.
4. Karita E, Price M, Hunter E, et al. Investigating the utility of the HIV-1 BED capture enzyme immunoassay using cross-sectional and longitudinal seroconverter specimens from Africa. *AIDS* 2007; 21: 403-408.
5. Yirrell DL, Kaleebu P, Morgan D, Hutchinson S, and Whitworth JA. HIV-1 subtype dynamics over 10 years in a rural Ugandan cohort. *Int J STD AIDS* 2004; 15(2):103-106.
6. UNAIDS. *Statement on the Use of the BED-assay for the Estimation of HIV-1 Incidence for Surveillance or Epidemic Monitoring*. Report of a meeting of the UNAIDS Reference Group for Estimates, Modelling and Projections, Athens, Greece, 13-15 December 2005. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2005.

Drs Rehle, Shisana, Parker and Puren reply: Westreich and colleagues express concerns about the applicability of the BED capture enzyme immunoassay to the South African epidemic with HIV subtype C as the predominant HIV clade.

The BED assay uses a multi-subtype peptide designed to cover all major HIV subtypes, not just subtypes B, E and D as its name may imply. The three main variants of the immunodominant region of gp41 were used to synthesise the BED peptide (B Parekh, Centers for Disease Control (CDC) – personal communication). These consensus sequences are well preserved and the inclusion of those sequences from the

three subtypes B, E and D was found to be sufficient to cover all major (group M) subtypes of HIV prevalent in different areas of the world.¹ The BED peptide is equivalently reactive among these HIV subtypes as assessed by saturation binding and end-point titres.

In May 2006, an incidence validation meeting was held at the CDC where new study results were presented from China, Cote d'Ivoire, South Africa, Thailand, Uganda, the USA and Zimbabwe to address the concerns expressed by the UNAIDS Reference Group in December 2005.^{2,3} Working groups developed guidelines with detailed adjustment procedures for the estimation of HIV-1 incidence in cross-sectional, population-based serosurveys.⁴ Two separate studies showed similar misclassification rates among subtype B and subtype C infections and proposed their own adjustment formulae⁵ (and Hargrove J, et al., 'Improved HIV-1 incidence estimates using the BED Capture Enzyme Immunoassay' – in review).

Values for the imputed variables for both adjustment factors were validated in 2 532 specimens from 1 192 people with known date of seroconversion in HIV-1 subtypes B and C. The key imputed value in these adjustments is the false recent rate among long-term (> 1 year) infected people. It is 5.57% in both adjustments (1- γ in McDougal's adjustment is equal to ϵ in Hargrove's adjustment). Therefore, the McDougal and Hargrove adjustments have only been validated for HIV-1 subtypes B and C where the proportion of long-term infection misclassifying as recent infections were quantified. The performance of these adjustments in populations with HIV-1 subtypes A, D and E is not yet known and is being validated.

The study of Karita et al.⁶ quoted by Westreich and colleagues questions the validity of the adjustments applied in our analysis. However, in view of the large samples from which the McDougal and Hargrove adjustments were derived, a major limitation of the analysis by Karita et al. was the small sample size used in the BED performance assessment in subtype C specimens – only 117 samples from 26 Zambian volunteers. Furthermore, based on previous analysis of HIV subtype C seroconverter samples (Ethiopia, Zimbabwe) done at the CDC we have applied a window period of 180 days in our incidence calculation. This is in contrast to the window period of 153 days used by Karita et al.

In order to examine the plausibility of our HIV incidence estimates we compared the adjusted BED estimates with estimates derived from mathematical modelling, using the ASSA2003 AIDS and Demographic model.⁷ BED HIV incidence in the population aged 2 years and older was 1.4%, compared with 1.3% estimated by the ASSA model. A BED HIV incidence rate of 2.4% was found among individuals aged 15 - 49 years. The modelled HIV incidence was 2.2% for this age group. We therefore conclude that the adjusted BED HIV incidence estimates appear to provide plausible national HIV incidence estimates for South Africa.



Notwithstanding these encouraging results we remain actively involved in further validation studies not limited to the BED-CEIA but will also explore the suitability of testing algorithms involving, for example, antibody avidity testing. There is emerging consensus that validated laboratory based tests are the method of choice to estimate national HIV incidence and assess the impact of national prevention programmes.

1. Parekh B, Kennedy S, Dobbs T, *et al.* Quantitative detection of increasing HIV type 1 antibodies after seroconversion: A simple assay for detecting recent HIV infection and estimating incidence. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2002; 18(4): 295-307.
2. Centers for Disease Control (CDC), Surveillance and Survey and Laboratory Working Groups. Expert meeting on the validation of the BED HIV-1 incidence assay for HIV-1 incidence surveillance. CDC, Atlanta, USA, 9-10 May 2006.
3. UNAIDS. *Statement on the Use of the BED-assay for the Estimation of HIV-1 Incidence for Surveillance or Epidemic Monitoring*. Report of a meeting of the UNAIDS Reference Group for Estimates, Modelling and Projections, Athens, Greece, 13-15 December 2005. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2005.
4. Centers for Disease Control (CDC), Surveillance and Survey and Laboratory Working Groups. *Guidelines for the Use of the BED Capture Enzyme Immunoassay for Incidence Estimation and Surveillance*. Atlanta, USA: CDC, 2006.
5. McDougal JS, Parekh, BS, Peterson ML, *et al.* Comparison of HIV-1 incidence observed during longitudinal follow-up with incidence estimated by cross-sectional analysis using the BED capture enzyme immunoassay. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2006; (10): 945-952.
6. Karita E, Price M, Hunter E, *et al.* Investigating the utility of the HIV-1 BED capture enzyme immunoassay using cross-sectional and longitudinal seroconverter specimens from Africa. *AIDS* 2007; 21: 403-408.
7. Rehle T, Dorrington R, Shisana O, *et al.* National HIV incidence estimates: direct measures compared with mathematical modelling. Paper presented at the 3rd South African AIDS Conference, Durban, 5-8 June 2007.

African section of e-journal *Rural and Remote Health*

To the Editor: We read with interest the *SAMJ* article 'Scope and geographical distribution of African medical journals active in 2005' by Siegfried *et al.*,¹ and would like to bring to your readers' attention the recent launch of an African section of the e-journal *Rural and Remote Health* (*RRH*). This regional section has a particularly African flavour, owing to its own editorial board and peer-review panel, but is under the umbrella of the international journal.

We hope that the African section will add to the initiatives described by Siegfried *et al.* and address some of the issues raised in their article. *RRH* is an international, peer-reviewed, open-access journal. It is Medline-listed. It aims to offer wider world exposure for quality African research in the area of rural and remote health care education, policy and practice. We

believe the issues of rural and remote health are relevant to most of Africa.

Because *RRH* is an electronic journal it affords authors timely publication on an article-by-article basis. In addition, the electronic format means that *RRH* is not geographically bound, and therefore offers rural and remote authors and users an all-of-Africa approach to publication.

In a recent *RRH* editorial to coincide with the launch of the African section, we recognised the impact of inadequate access to information on the problems of health and health care in Africa.² We also discussed the issue of inequity in access to the Internet, which has been highlighted for urgent attention by the Commission for Africa,³ and recent initiatives to improve the current situation of variable access.^{4,5} We offer the African section of *RRH* as a small contribution towards this.

The Journal can be accessed at www.rrh.org.au. Users should select 'African section' from the main menu on the home page.

Jennifer Richmond

Production Editor, RRH
Australian Rural Health Education Network
Canberra, ACT
Australia

Ian Couper

Editor, African section, RRH
Professor of Rural Health
Department of Family Medicine
University of the Witwatersrand
Johannesburg
couperid@medicine.wits.ac.za

Paul Worley

Editor-in-chief, RRH
Professor and Director
Rural Clinical School
Flinders University, South Australia

1. Siegfried N, Busgeeth K, Certain E. Scope and geographical distribution of African medical journals active in 2005. *S Afr Med J* 2006; 96: 533-538.
2. Couper ID, Worley PS. Health and information in Africa: the role of the journal *Rural and Remote Health*. *Rural and Remote Health* 6 (online), 2006: 644. <http://rrh.deakin.edu.au> (last accessed 14 September 2006).
3. Dare L, Buch E. The future of health care in Africa. *BMJ* 2005; 331: 1-2.
4. Katikireddi SV. HINARI: bridging the global information divide. *BMJ* 2004; 328: 1190-1193.
5. Beveridge M, Howard A, Burton K, Holder W. The Ptolemy project: a scalable model for delivering health information in Africa. *BMJ* 2003; 327: 790-793.