Football fever is rising in anticipation of the quadrennial 2010 FIFA World Cup event. Half a million international supporters are expected to converge on South Africa for the historic event, to be hosted in Africa for the first time. Spectators will be greeted by a distinctively African sporting spectacle uniquely characterised by sounds produced by sports fans blowing the horn-like instrument known as the vuvuzela. Cherished by local supporters, the vuvuzela produces a characteristically loud, reverberant sound that can be heard for miles around the stadium. In the recent 2009 Confederations Cup held in South Africa, the international football community noticed this phenomenon. Despite complaints from international teams, FIFA approved it as part of the signature 2010 FIFA World Cup event. Half a million international supporters are expected to converge on South Africa for the historic event, to be hosted in Africa for the first time. Spectators will be greeted by a distinctively African sporting spectacle uniquely characterised by sounds produced by sports fans blowing the horn-like instrument known as the vuvuzela. Cherished by local supporters, the vuvuzela produces a characteristically loud, reverberant sound that can be heard for miles around the stadium. In the recent 2009 Confederations Cup held in South Africa, the international football community noticed this phenomenon. Despite complaints from international teams, FIFA approved it as part of the signature 2010 World Cup to be held in Africa.

The actual sound output created by the vuvuzela was recently reported to reach dangerously high levels, averaging 131 dB(A) at the horn opening and 113 dB(A) at a 2-metre distance from the vuvuzela. These values exceed international directives on exposure limits in occupational settings. Although there is significant variability in individual susceptibility, prolonged or regular exposure poses a significant risk for noise-induced hearing loss. Recreational activities with excessively loud sound levels are an increasingly important public health care concern for developing permanent sensorineural hearing loss. We aimed to determine (i) noise exposure levels of spectators at a FIFA 2010 designated training stadium during a premier soccer league match; and (ii) changes in auditory functioning after the match.

Methods

To determine (i) noise exposure levels of spectators at a FIFA 2010 designated training stadium during a premier soccer league match; and (ii) changes in auditory functioning after the match.

Data collection

All participants were assessed by four audiology clinicians within 3 hours before attending the football match. The assessment included an otoscopic examination and tympanometry to rule out external and middle ear abnormalities. One left ear (1/22 ears) was excluded because of a perforated tympanic membrane. All remaining ears of the 11 subjects were tested with pure-tone audiometry, high-frequency pure-tone audiometry, and distortion product oto-acoustic emissions (DPOAEs). Pure-tone audiometry was

Conclusions

Exposure levels exceeded limits of permissible average and peak sound levels. Significant changes in post-match hearing thresholds and cochlear responsiveness highlight the possible risk for noise-induced hearing loss. Public awareness and personal hearing protection should be prioritised as preventive measures.

Results

The average sound exposure level during the match was 100.5 LAeq (dBA), with peak intensities averaging 140.4 dB(C). A significant (p=0.005) deterioration of post-match hearing thresholds was evident at 2 000 Hz, and post-match DPOAE amplitudes were significantly reduced at 1 266, 3 163 and 5 063 Hz (p=0.011, 0.019, 0.013, respectively).

Objectives

To determine (i) noise exposure levels of spectators at a FIFA 2010 designated training stadium during a premier soccer league match; and (ii) changes in auditory functioning after the match.

Methods

This was a one-group pretest–post-test design of football spectators attending a premier soccer league match at a designated FIFA 2010 training stadium in Gauteng, South Africa. Individual spectator noise exposure for the duration of the football match and post-match changes in hearing thresholds were measured with pure-tone audiometry, and cochlear functioning was measured with distortion product oto-acoustic emissions (DPOAEs).

Methods

This was a one-group pretest–post-test design of football spectators attending a premier soccer league match at a designated FIFA 2010 training stadium in Gauteng, South Africa. Individual spectator noise exposure for the duration of the football match and post-match changes in hearing thresholds were measured with pure-tone audiometry, and cochlear functioning was measured with distortion product oto-acoustic emissions (DPOAEs).
conducted with a calibrated diagnostic type 1 audiometer in
a sound-proof booth. Thresholds were determined using a
bracketing method (10 dB down and 5 dB up), commencing
at 30 dB HL with threshold defined as the lowest level where
the patient could correctly respond to 50% of presentations at
that intensity. The conventional audiometric frequency range,
including 250, 500, 1 000, 2 000, 3 000, 4 000, 6 000 and 8 000
Hz, was assessed using TDH-49 supra-aural earphones, while
the high-frequency range, including 10 000, 12 500, 14 000 and
16 000 Hz, was assessed using Sennheiser HDA200 circumaural
earphones. DPOAEs, which indicate outer hair cell integrity of
the cochlea, were also measured (in 21 ears) using a BioLogic
Scout system across f2 frequencies including 1 008, 1 266, 1 570,
1 992, 2 508, 3 164, 4 008, 5 063, 6 352 and 8 016 Hz. A fixed f2:f1
ratio of 1.22 was maintained with the primary tones presented
at 65 (f1) and 55 (f2) dB SPL. DPOAE measurements of 2f1 - f2
amplitude were plotted as a function of the f2 frequency. Each
DPOAE measurement was replicated without removing the
probe from the ear canal.

All auditory tests were repeated in exactly the same manner
as the pre-match testing between 1 and 3 hours after the
football match ended. The order of conventional and high-
frequency pure-tone audiometry and DPOAE tests were
counter-balanced and the same clinician tested each participant
in the pre- and post-match assessments.

During the match, 10 of the 11 participants wore personal
sound exposure meters (doseBadges), fixed to their shoulder,
which continuously measured each individual’s noise
exposure. The personal sound exposure meters were set to
record sound levels before entering the stadium and were
switched off after participants had exited the stadium. The
participants were assigned seats in pairs of two (except for one
group of three, the third of whom did not wear a doseBadge)
distributed across the stadium to include exposure levels
related to an 8-hour work day translates to an average of
94.4 dB (LEP,d). The peak sound level exceeded 140 dB for 8 of
the 10 participants, with a maximum peak level reaching 144.2
dB(C). The 4 subjects who blew vuvuzelas had the highest
LAeq exposure levels, all in excess of 100 dB(A), and their
exposure to peak sound levels was in excess of 140 dB(C).

The changes in pure-tone audiometry thresholds pre-
and post-match are presented in Fig. 1. More than 50% of
hearing thresholds at 250, 2 000, 3 000, 4 000 and 6 000 Hz
demonstrated a post-match deterioration. The only statistically
significant post-match deterioration, however, was at 2 000 Hz
(p=0.005), with an average threshold elevation (shift) of 3.4 dB
(±2 standard deviation (SD)).

DPOAE amplitudes were recorded with acceptably low noise
floor levels across pre- and post-match measurements. Figs
2 and 3 illustrate the average change in DPOAE amplitudes
pre- and post-match. There is a notable post-match decrease in
DPOAE amplitudes, which was statistically significant at

Table I. Noise exposure levels during the football match (10 participants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Time of exposure (min)</th>
<th>LAeq dB(A)</th>
<th>LEP,d</th>
<th>LPeak dB(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>140.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>141.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>140.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>142.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>142.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>142.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>144.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>142.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>135.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>132.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>140.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>132.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>144.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Participants blowing a vuvuzela.

LAeq = the equivalent noise exposure averaged over the duration of exposure, in other words the average sound intensity for the duration of exposure if it was the same volume throughout; LEP,d = the worker’s daily noise exposure, in other words the specific amount of noise exposure for the duration of exposure averaged over an 8-hour working day; LPeak dB(C) = the peak level of the sound pressure measured over the duration of exposure; SD = standard deviation.

European Parliament directive on noise levels:2 upper action level when LEP,d = 85 dB(A) and/or LPeak = 137 dB(C); exposure limit when LEP,d = 87 dB(A) and/or LPeak = 140 dB(C).
1 266, 3 163 and 5 063 Hz, with an average decrease of 1.5, 1.7 and 2.6 dB, respectively. Fig. 4 indicates the distribution of DPOAE amplitudes post-match presenting with a decrease in amplitude. Overall, 63% of DPOAE amplitudes across f2 frequencies decreased post-match, and 73% of amplitudes at 1 266, 3 164 and 5 063 Hz demonstrated a decrease post-match averaging 2.6, 3.1 and 4.2 dB, respectively.

Discussion

The exposure to high-intensity sound for all study participants during the football match was at high average levels (100.5 LAeq), with peak energy exposures exceeding 140 dB(C) in the majority (8/10) of participants. The 4 participants in this study who blew vuvuzelas had the highest levels of average noise exposure. Post-match auditory tests indicated a significant average elevation in hearing thresholds at 2 000 Hz, and DPOAE amplitudes were significantly reduced at 1 266, 3 163 and 5 064 Hz. Although auditory changes probably reflect a temporary shift in hearing threshold and DPOAE amplitude,5,7 they demonstrate that auditory physiology is affected by the sound exposure levels experienced at a football match where vuvuzelas are a major source of acoustic energy.

To contextualise the sound exposure levels experienced by the participants and the associated risks involved, they should be considered within occupational noise exposure legislation. According to the Physical Agents (Noise) Directive 2003/10/EC issued by the European Parliament in 2003, there are two criteria to consider in terms of sound exposure and risk of hearing loss. The first is the average exposure level over the specific duration of exposure normalised to an 8-hour working day (LEP,d), and the second is the peak energy recorded during the duration of exposure (LPeak). These values were compared against regulated criteria (Table I) to determine the associated risk and necessary actions to be taken. The exposure levels in this study exceeded the specified exposure limit of 87 dB(A) for all participants by between 3 and 14 dB. The LPeak levels also exceeded the regulated exposure limit of 140 dB(C) in the majority (8/10) of participants. The Exposure Limit Action Level specified by Directive 2003/10/EC specifies that under no circumstances shall the exposure of the worker (taking into account hearing protection worn) exceed these limits (Physical Agents (Noise) Directive, 2003). South African standards for occupational noise stipulate personal hearing protection for workers exposed to levels of 85 dBA and above.9 Considering the logarithmic nature of the decibel scale, implying a doubling of hearing loss risk with every 3 dB increase,5 participants in this sample were exposed to high-intensity sound far exceeding the current legislated average exposure and peak exposure levels for occupational noise.

The significant reduction in DPOAE amplitudes after the match, compared with a significant deterioration in hearing thresholds only at 2 000 Hz, is in agreement with previous studies indicating that OAE measurements can identify early cochlear damage not yet reflected in hearing thresholds.7,10-12 Although not documented in this study, these changes are probably temporary, as demonstrated by Bhagat and
Davis, but typically precede permanent changes in cochlear functioning and pure-tone hearing thresholds. Since OAEs directly measure the responsiveness of the outer hair cells of the cochlea, which is the primary site of the lesion in noise-induced hearing loss, these measures can identify changes in auditory functioning before conventional measures such as pure-tone audiometry.

The vuvuzela is an iconic symbol of football culture in South Africa and is bound to enthral spectators from around the world at the 2010 FIFA World Cup. We cannot specify the contribution of the vuvuzela to the overall sound level recorded during the football match, although a preliminary report on its sound output levels and the exposure levels recorded for the four participants blowing vuvuzelas in this study demonstrate its high-intensity sound. In addition to this, concerns raised independently by representatives of international football teams, football match spectators and sports commentators about the almost constant loud sound produced during the match by thousands of vuvuzelas implicate the instrument as a major factor in the recorded noise levels. Most importantly, the findings of this study demonstrate a real risk of noise-induced hearing loss. Official match stadiums for the 2010 FIFA World Cup will house 90 000 spectators, three times more than the stadium used in this study. It is reasonable to suspect that sound intensity will be even higher in the larger official venues. The findings of this study support the recommendation for hearing protection of football match spectators. At the least, preventive measures, such as public awareness and personal hearing protection, are warranted.
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