The role of the state in establishing a public cord blood stem cell bank

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation has become a curative treatment option for many patients with haematological malignancies, bone marrow failure syndromes, thalassaemia, sickle-cell disease and some rare disorders of the blood and bone marrow. Ideally, stem cells from the peripheral blood or bone marrow are sourced from a matched unrelated donor if a sibling donor is not available. Alternatively, stem cells may be obtained from umbilical cord blood (UCB). The advantages of the latter over conventional sources of stem cells include that tissue matching requirements are less stringent, healthy donors are not exposed to invasive procedures, and transplants can be performed without delay.

Cord blood as a source of stem cells is rapidly changing the face of regenerative transplantation. The voluntary donation of cord blood cells to public UCB banks, resembling blood donation practice, is an example of a social justice health model that encompasses ethical citizenship and altruism. Van Rood’s proposal on international transplant-sharing associations has been made a reality by public UCB banks. Such ‘allogeneic tissue networks’, formed by linking international registries, are scientifically and ethically easier to justify than private UCB banks that store autologous stem cells for exclusive use by the donor or a close relative. The likelihood that a child will require and receive its own cord blood as a transplant within 10 years of storage is very low, and the potential need for autologous use of a stored UCB unit is estimated to be between 0.04% and 0.0005%. The potential estimated need is not the same as the likelihood of actually receiving an UCB transplant; the latter is much lower. On the other hand, the probability of requiring an allogeneic stem cell transplantation is estimated to be 0.04% by age 20 years, 0.10% by age 40, and 0.25% by age 70. These are orders of magnitude higher than the probability of using a banked unit will be 1% per year. The crux of the matter, therefore, is whether establishing a public UCB bank is a health priority in South Africa. The Bill of Rights states that all have the right of access to health care services. However, this is qualified by the statement that the state must take reasonable legislative and other measures, within its available resources, to achieve the progressive realisation of such a right. Consequently, policy decisions on health priorities and how to spend the funds available for health care are not only medical scientific issues but societal decisions, as recognised by the South African National Health Act. The Act broadly states which health issues should be addressed, and provides for the establishment of a National Health Council to advise the Minister on policy concerning matters that affect the health of the population and a National Consultative Health Forum to facilitate communication and interaction among stakeholders. It also embraces policy, priorities and norms relating to the equitable provision and financing of health services, and the responsibilities for health of the individuals and the public and private sector. Preventive medicine and the provision of basic health care are the current priorities in South Africa. It is therefore unlikely that the state will consider an expensive project such as a public UCB bank that will benefit relatively few patients as a health priority.

How best can this discussion be taken forward? The state is the regulatory authority for stem cell banks, private and public, thus ensuring quality standards and ethical practices. The Department of Health also formulates high-level national policies based on the health needs and priorities of the people of South Africa. The Department of Health also formulates high-level national policies based on the health needs and priorities of the.
country. The state should recognise the growing importance of regenerative medicine and accept its responsibility to play an active role in determining how this need can best be satisfied in the South African context. The state should recognise that the private sector could play an important role in establishing stem cell banks and may share the financial burden.

To ensure good policy and decision making it is therefore suggested that the state should take the initiative and convene a suitable forum where the national policy on establishing UCB banks can be discussed. Ways in which a public UCB bank can possibly be funded, and whether regenerative medicine should be regarded as a health priority, should be considered. The respective roles of the state and the private sector in establishing and funding a public cord blood bank, possibly on a fee-for-service basis, could be debated.

Such a facility would benefit South African patients and address moral and ethical issues related to inequitable medical services, and the disparate donor/recipient availability of stem cells in this country.
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A Pan-African Clinical Trials Registry for the specific needs of triallists on the continent

Clinical trials provide the best evidence for which health care interventions work, which do not, and which may be harmful.1 Ideilly we aim to base our clinical practice on the results from well-conducted trials. For us to be able to do so, all trial reports must be available in the public domain and accurately reflect the methods and the results of clinical trials.

Trial reports may not be available publicly due to publication bias – the tendency for positive and significant trial results to be published preferentially and for negative or neutral trial results to be refused, or withheld from, publication.2 Prospective trial registration arose out of the need to reduce the effects of publication bias and to encourage greater public disclosure, particularly in industry-led trials.3 Prospective registration encourages triallists to record the aim, objectives, outcomes and planned analysis of their trial on a clinical trial register before enrolling the first patient. After successful registration the trial is allocated a unique identification number.

Clinical trial registration has become an important part of the clinical trial process since the Ministerial Summit on Health Research called on the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2004 to establish ‘a network of international clinical trial registers to ensure a single point of access and the unambiguous identification of trials’. In 2005 this call was endorsed by the 58th World Health Assembly and supported by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), who updated their statement so that only trials registered on WHO-endorsed primary registers would be...