



Dr Ivan Toms – a true hero

To the Editor: I was shocked to read in the *SAMJ* of the unexpected death of Dr Ivan Toms,^{1,2} who served as a doctor in 3 Medical Battalion Group (3 Med Bn Gp), a citizen force unit, during the time that I was the officer commanding (OC).

I made an appointment to see him, since I was aware of rumours originating from the state security hierarchy that he was to be made an example of because of his well-known stance against any form of military duty. I was also aware of his sterling work at the SA Christian Leadership Assembly (SACLA) clinic in Crossroads – he was at that time the only doctor taking responsibility for the health of more than 40 000 people.

I was immediately struck by his ready smile and friendliness. We had a long discussion, during which he informed me that he was not willing to do any form of military duty, not even in a medical unit or in the unit for conscientious objectors (whose members did gardening work, without being required to wear a uniform). He was clearly committed to serving poor people. Although not a religious devotee, he was a true believer in the principles taught by Jesus Christ.

My response was that I truly believed that he would serve South Africa best by continuing with his work in Crossroads. However, the limits of my authority extended to preventing 3 Med Bn Gp from calling him up for camps or border duty.

We got away with this for three years, during which time I stayed in contact with him. In April 1987, I resigned as OC 3 Med Bn Gp. In July 1987, the state security hierarchy charged and tried Dr Toms under compulsory conscription legislation. At his trial, I testified that he was truly committed to his work in Crossroads and that I believed that he served South Africa best in that capacity. The judge expressed the same sentiments before sentencing him.

The appalling experiences which he suffered in prison would have broken a lesser man. His subsequent appointment as Cape Town's Health Director and receipt of the Presidential Award of the Order of the Baobab were well deserved. I salute one of the most courageous men that I have had the privilege of knowing.

J T Nel

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
University of the Free State
Bloemfontein
jtnel@jtnel.co.za

490

1. Van Niekerk JPdeV. Ivan Toms: Political and gay activist (Editor's Choice). *S Afr Med J* 2008; 98: 329.
2. Bateman C. Ivan Toms – A selfless model of social conscience. *S Afr Med J* 2008; 98: 338-340.

The child rape epidemic

To the Editor: We wish to comment on the clinical review of 294 cases of child sexual abuse.¹

A bias towards significant surgical injury may exist as the Department of Surgery at Red Cross Children's Hospital (RCH), which is a referral centre for managing child sexual abuse of all types, has the more severe cases referred.

A case is made for examination under anaesthesia (EUA). Paediatric anaesthesia is highly specialised and best performed in centres of excellence; its unnecessary use should be avoided as it carries its own morbidity and mortality. Many clinicians, supported by protocols in other centres, hold that most children do not require EUA. Difficult cases or uncertainty and examiner inexperience are indications for referral and possible EUA. The use of EUA is perhaps contradictory to the authors' suggestion that it is '... perhaps time to encourage nursing staff to become qualified sexual assault nurse examiners to perform most of the examination'.

Most sexually abused children presenting to health services have been chronically abused, therefore indications for EUA are less as they are often pathologically co-operative with clinical examination.

It is traumatic for children to be taken from place to place, waiting for transport, etc. The provincial guidelines for management of child sexual abuse suggest that children should be seen and managed close to their communities, with support from the local police and social services. Primary and secondary level health care workers have provided positive feedback on this point, and it is supported by the Women and Children's Directorate of the Provincial Government. We acknowledge the services that social service agencies render within our communities. With inadequate resources, organisations such as Cape Town Child Welfare, the Department of Social Services and the Afrikaanse Christelike Vroue Vereniging use innovative methods with community involvement, and carry huge workloads.

RCH is the *only* designated children's hospital in the country, and would be overwhelmed if all the approximately 18 000 raped children (the annual number of sexually abused children *reported*, according to the South African Police Service) were seen there. It would be greatly preferable to decentralise the management of sexually abused children and to equip all health centres to deal with them adequately and effectively.

Problems with implementing the provincial guidelines require ongoing training and education of junior doctors, improved referral processes to avoid delay, better links with Police Family Services and the prosecution process, and improved community social worker networks. Success in providing facilities for EUAs outside of RCH would depend on the competence and knowledge of the examiners.