

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* ST25 isolated from carriage samples in uMgungundlovu district, South Africa

To the Editor: *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* is the second most frequent causative agent of staphylococcal infections among coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS).^[1,2] This staphylococcal species has an important ability to acquire multiresistance against various antibiotics.^[1,2] In fact, methicillin-resistant *S. haemolyticus* (MRSH) has been reported worldwide in clinical cases, notably in bloodstream infections. Methicillin resistance results from the recombinase-mediated insertion of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec), the mobile genetic element carrying *mecA*, at the 3' end of a chromosomal open reading frame designated as *orfX*. The *mecA* gene encodes for complete resistance to the beta-lactam family and various levels of co-resistance to other antibiotics. It has been postulated that CoNS, including *S. haemolyticus*, could act as reservoirs of resistance genes harboured on mobile genetic elements including the SCCmec, plasmids, prophages, transposons and pathogenicity islands that enable the horizontal transmission of resistance genes in staphylococcal species.^[3,4] Considering its great ability and adaptability to survive in hospitals, especially on medical devices, *S. haemolyticus* is particularly involved in nosocomial infections.^[1,2]

The isolates presented herein formed part of a bigger study investigating the molecular epidemiology of antibiotics in clinical and carriage samples from patients admitted to a rural district hospital and an urban tertiary hospital in the uMgungundlovu District in South Africa (SA). The isolates were identified using biochemical tests, and Rosco Diagnostica (Taastrup, Denmark) was used to screen for methicillin resistance and vancomycin resistance. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by broth microdilution against a panel of antibiotics consisting of cefoxitin, amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, moxifloxacin, erythromycin, clindamycin, fusidic acid, linezolid, tetracycline, doxycycline, teicoplanin, vancomycin, tigecycline, trimethoprim and nitrofurantoin. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing (EUCAST) breakpoints^[5] were used for interpretation of the results, and *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 was used as the control.

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) analysis was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc., USA) with 100x coverage. CLC Genomics Workbench version 10 (CLC, Bio-

QIAGEN, Denmark) and SPAdes^[6] version 3.5 were used for *de novo* assembly. The bacterial analysis pipeline of GoSeqIt tools was also used to annotate and identify known acquired antibiotic-resistant genes via ResFinder,^[7] virulence factors using VirulenceFinder^[8] and mobile genetic elements through PlasmidFinder.^[9] The multi-locus sequence type was also determined from WGS data using eight housekeeping genes (*gapa*, *infB*, *mdh*, *pgi*, *phoE*, *rpob* and *tonB*).

The two MRSH, G808N1B1 (GenBank accession number PGWX00000000) and A109N1B1 (GenBank accession number PGWY000000000), originated from carriage samples of patients admitted to tertiary and district hospitals, respectively. Both isolates were ascribed to the sequence type (ST) 25 and showed multidrug resistance (Table 1). WGS confirmed this resistance profile by identifying *blaZ* and *mecA* together with several resistant determinants to non-beta-lactam antibiotics, notably to aminoglycosides (*ant(6)-Ia*, *aac(6)-aph(2'')*, *aph(3')-III*), fusidic acid (*fusB*), MLS (*msr(A)*, *mph(C)*, *vga(A)*) and teicoplanin (*TcaS*, *TcaB*) (Table 1). The multidrug and toxin extrusion (MATE) transport protein, *mepA*, encoding for resistance to tigecycline, the multidrug exporter *AcrB* and *mexE* from the resistance-nodulation-cell division family, the MDR efflux pump (*qacA*) and small MDR family (*qac*) were additionally detected (Table 1). Additionally, a plasmid (RepA(VRSap)) was identified in the tertiary hospital. It is noteworthy to mention that none of the *S. haemolyticus* carried virulence factors.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of MRSH ST25 isolated from carriage samples in SA. The identification of these MRSH ST25 in two different levels of care demonstrate their probable presence in public hospitals in uMgungundlovu District. Taking into consideration that *S. haemolyticus* is an opportunistic pathogen that is rather difficult to eradicate owing to its bacterial resistance, routine screening of patients and appropriate implementation of infection control measures should be strictly implemented in these settings. The observed resistance to last-resort antibiotics is of concern, necessitating antibiotic stewardship. Genomic data on these bacteria could provide better understanding of their evolution and guide interventions for their containment.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (ref. no. BF512/16, sub-study of BCA444/16). Permission to conduct the research was also granted by the provincial Department of Health, uMgungundlovu District and hospital managers.

Table 1. Antimicrobial susceptibility to selected antibiotics in individual MRSH isolates

Isolate name	Minimum inhibitory concentrations (mg/L)		Antibiotic resistance genes
	A109N1B1	G808N1B1	
Nitrofurantoin	64	64	<i>mecA</i> , <i>blaZ</i> , <i>aac(6)-aph(2'')</i> , <i>aac(6)-Ia</i> , <i>ant(6)-Ia</i> , <i>norA</i> , <i>msr(A)</i> , <i>mph(C)</i> , <i>dfcG</i> , <i>mepA</i> , <i>qac</i> , <i>bacA</i> , <i>MATE</i> , <i>AcrB</i> , <i>TcaA</i> , <i>TcaB</i>
Trimethoprim	≥512	≥512	
Fusidic acid	32	64	<i>mecA</i> , <i>blaZ</i> , <i>aac(6)-aph(2'')</i> , <i>aac(6)-Ia</i> , <i>aph(3')-IIIa</i> , <i>ant(6)-Ia</i> , <i>norA</i> , <i>fusB</i> , <i>msr(A)</i> , <i>mph(C)</i> , <i>vga(A)</i> , <i>dfcG</i> , <i>mepA</i> , <i>qac</i> , <i>qacA</i> , <i>mexE</i> , <i>fusB</i> , <i>MATE</i> , <i>AcrB</i> , <i>TcaA</i> , <i>TcaB</i>
Tigecycline	16	2	
Doxycycline	4	1	
Tetracycline	8	16	
Vancomycin	16	8	
Teicoplanin	64	64	
Linezolid	16	32	
Clindamycin	2	1	
Erythromycin	256	1	
Moxifloxacin	32	0.5	
Ciprofloxacin	≥512	8	
Tobramycin	128	2	
Gentamicin	256	1	
Amikacin	32	2	
Cefoxitin	≥512	32	

Acknowledgments. The authors express their profound gratitude to the Infection, Prevention and Control officers, Mrs Thandi Masango, Mrs Jennifer Grace Green and Mrs Zelda Reddy, for their considerable assistance during the sample collection in both hospitals.

Author contributions. RCF co-conceptualised the study, undertook sample collection, laboratory and statistical analyses, prepared tables and figures, interpreted results and drafted the manuscript. LF undertook sample collection and laboratory analyses and vetted the results. MA contributed to bioinformatics analyses. AI undertook whole-genome sequencing. SYE co-conceptualised the study, undertook vetting of the results and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approve the manuscript.

Funding. This work was supported by the Antimicrobial Research Unit (ARU) and a scholarship awarded to RCF and LLF by the College of Health Sciences of the University of KwaZulu-Natal. The National Research Foundation (NRF) funded the study through the NRF Incentive Funding for Rated Researchers (grant no. 85595), the NRF Competitive Grant for Rated Researchers (grant no. 106063) and the DST/NRF South African Research Chair in Antibiotic Resistance and One Health (grant no. 98342) awarded to SYE. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this study are those of the authors and do not represent the official position of the funders. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, preparation of the manuscript or decision to publish.

Conflicts of interest. SYE is a member of the Global Respiratory Infection Partnership sponsored by an unrestricted educational grant from Reckitt Benckiser. All the other authors have no conflicts of interest.

Raspail Carrel Founou

Antimicrobial Research Unit, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dschang University, Cameroon; and Department of Clinical Microbiology, Centre of Expertise and Biological Diagnostic of Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon
 czangue@yahoo.fr

Luria Leslie Founou

Antimicrobial Research Unit, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; and Department of Food Safety and Environmental Microbiology, Centre of Expertise and Biological Diagnostic of Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon

Mushal Allam, Arshad Ismail

Sequencing Core Facility, National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa

Sabiha Yusuf Essack

Antimicrobial Research Unit, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

1. Barros EM, Ceotto H, Bastos MCF, dos Santos KRN, Giambiagi-deMarvala M. Staphylococcus haemolyticus as an important hospital pathogen and carrier of methicillin resistance genes. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011;50(1):166-168. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.05563-11>
2. Czekaj T, Ciszewski M, Szewczyk EM. Staphylococcus haemolyticus – an emerging threat in the twilight of the antibiotics age. *Microbiology* 2015;161(11):2061-2068. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000178>
3. Cavanagh JP, Hjerde E, Holden MTG, et al. Whole-genome sequencing reveals clonal expansion of multiresistant Staphylococcus haemolyticus in European hospitals. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014;69(11):2920-2927. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku271>
4. Rossi CC, Ferreira NC, Coelho MLV, Schuenck RP, Bastos MD, Giambiagi-deMarval M. Transfer of mupirocin resistance from Staphylococcus haemolyticus clinical strains to Staphylococcus aureus through conjugative and mobilizable plasmids. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2016;363(14):fnw121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw121>
5. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). Breakpoint Tables for Interpretation of MICs and Zone Diameters. Basel, Switzerland: European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2016.
6. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 2012;19(5):455-477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
7. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;67(11):2640-2644. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261>
8. Joensen KG, Scheutz F, Lund O, et al. Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak detection of verotoxigenic Escherichia coli. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014;52(5):1501-1510. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03617-13>
9. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, et al. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014;58(7):3895-3903. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14>

S Afr Med J 2020;110(10):959-960. <https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2020.v110i10.15182>