Looking beyond the magic bullet: Novel asthma drugs or education, which works better?
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Although <5% of children with asthma suffer from severe asthma, they account for the highest use of health resources. The field of asthma therapy is changing rapidly, with a number of new drugs and biologics being added to the treatment armamentarium, particularly for adults. This, though, is not the case for paediatric patients, in whom a number of these novel molecules and drugs have not been investigated. Even though adults have shown responses to medication in some studies, this does not necessarily imply that there will be similar results in children. In the management of severe asthma, use of specific interventions to ensure treatment adherence and goal-setting for self-management is critical to ensure the best treatment outcomes. The objective of this article is to review and grade the current evidence base for use of novel asthma drugs and to make evidence-based recommendations for their administration in children with severe asthma in the South Africa context. We also review the evidence for medication-adherence strategies and self-management plans.


Over the past decade, there has been an increase in new anti-asthma medications, particularly in biological agents recommended for adult asthmatics. Despite the increased access to novel agents, there has been a dearth of data and recommendations on the positioning of these drugs in the paediatric arena. Although children with severe asthma are a smaller component of the total asthmatic population (<5%), there is a need for evidence-based recommendations for the use of these novel drugs in asthmatic children, as they consume a disproportionate amount of healthcare resources. Biological agents are typically recommended for use in specific asthma phenotypes and endotypes, with predefined criteria predicting treatment response. The role of asthma comorbidities and asthma education has been emphasised in the most recent international guidelines, with patient adherence and motivation forming a critical part of a package of care for asthma management and successful therapy.

The purpose of this continuing medical education article is to review the current literature on novel asthma drugs and to assess the evidence for their use or avoidance in the paediatric age group. The role of asthma education and strategies to improve asthma outcomes are also reviewed.

Methods
The South African Childhood Asthma Working Group (SACAWG) convened in January 2017 to review the current available literature on novel treatments of asthma and asthma self-management plans (Appendix A). The scientific literature was assigned evidence levels according to the Grades of Recommendation Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system (Appendix B).

Novel asthma molecules in South Africa
Fluticasone furoate/vilanterol
Few studies have been done in children with the new combination agent of an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and an ultra-long-acting beta-agonist. In 5 - 11-year-old patients with well-controlled asthma, fluticasone furoate/vilanterol has a similar tolerability, safety profile, pharmacodynamic effect and pharmacokinetic profile as fluticasone furoate alone. The new combination drug is currently registered for children >12 years of age and recommended for children with moderate to severe asthma (evidence level B)

Tiotropium bromide
Tiotropium bromide is a once-daily long-acting anticholinergic drug initially approved for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
ETS exposure is a key avoids of asthma.

There are no data on bronchial thermoplasty in children. This drug is only recommended in children ≥12 years old.

Novel asthma therapies currently not recommended in South Africa

Allergen immunotherapy

The position of subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) and sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) as potential therapeutic options for asthma has yet to be clearly established in international asthma guidelines. A meta-analysis of 98 studies found that SCIT significantly reduced asthma symptoms and medication use and improved bronchial hyperreactivity, but demonstrated no effect on lung function, asthma control or exacerbation. SLIT has been proposed as a more feasible option compared with SCIT. A meta-analysis of 52 studies on SLIT in asthma revealed that there were limited data to draw any conclusions, given the lack of reporting of important outcomes, such as exacerbations and quality of life (evidence level III). Furthermore, the majority were mild or intermittent asthmatics, limiting the applicability of the results for severe asthmatics. Currently, in South Africa (SA), immunotherapy remains a relatively inaccessible and expensive treatment option for asthma and should not be considered as routine therapy.

Monoclonal anti-IgE

Omalizumab (OM) is a recombinant DNA-derived humanised monoclonal antibody licensed for use in children >6 years of age. It binds to the Fc portion of the IgE molecule and decreases unbound IgE. There is good-quality evidence from large meta-analyses in moderate to severe asthmatics that shows a decreased exacerbation rate, decreased duration of hospitalisation and increased withdrawal of ICSs. In children 6 - 11 years of age with severe allergic asthma, OM reduced clinically significant exacerbations but not severe exacerbations. During a 1-year study, OM was found to be safe, it increased quality of life and global evaluation of treatment effectiveness, and allowed complete withdrawal of steroids with no increase in adverse drug reactions (evidence level A). Evidence for the use of OM in children with severe persistent asthma is lacking, as most studies were conducted in moderate asthmatics. Predicting and evaluating response to OM in children in cases of severe asthma remain uncertain, as OM is equally beneficial in patients with low or high IgE levels and data in severe asthma are limited and based on anecdotal evidence (evidence level D). Currently, use of this agent should only be on subspecialist recommendation, when all other causes of uncontrolled asthma have been investigated and ruled out and costs have been taken into consideration.

Other biological agents

Mepolizumab (anti-interleukin-5 (anti-IL-5)), reslizumab (anti-IL-5), lebrikizumab (IL-13) and dupilumab (anti-IL-4 receptor shared by IL-4 and IL-13) are newer monoclonal antibodies. Many trials with these drugs have been conducted in adult asthmatics, but there are not yet any published trials on paediatric asthma. These agents may be useful for severe uncontrolled asthma, but cannot currently be recommended owing to a lack of data and because of the cost.

Bronchial thermoplasty

There are no data on bronchial thermoplasty in children.

Macrolides

Macrolide antibiotics have antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties, which may benefit asthma control. The available evidence on macrolide antibiotic use in chronic asthma, and especially chronic paediatric asthma, is of low quality. Some patients with uncontrolled asthma have chronic *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* or protracted bacterial bronchitis, which may contribute to lack of symptom control. Macrolide antibiotics may assist in the eradication of these infections and contribute towards the resolution of asthma symptoms.

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis assessed the effects of macrolide antibiotics in chronic asthma. Macrolide treatment was not better than placebo for most clinical outcomes, including exacerbations requiring hospital admission (evidence level C). We should not lose sight of the risk for development of macrolide-resistant bacteria. Current evidence therefore does not support the routine use of macrolides.

Antihistamines

Antihistamines have no benefit for asthma symptom control, but may be useful for control of symptoms of co-existing allergic diseases, such as rhinitis, conjunctivitis and atopic eczema, where topical therapy alone is insufficient to control symptoms.

Vitamin D

Several cross-sectional studies have shown that low serum levels of vitamin D are linked to impaired lung function, higher exacerbation frequency and reduced corticosteroid response. However, to date, vitamin D supplementation has not been associated with improvement in asthma control or reduction in exacerbations, although the quality of studies included in meta-analyses was low to very low (evidence level C). Evidence suggests that vitamin D supplementation has potential beneficial effects on asthma control and reduction in exacerbations.

Other drugs and therapies

The following are of no benefit in the treatment of childhood asthma: antibiotics, cough syrups, mucolytics, ionisers and breathing exercises. Complementary and alternative medications and interventions bear insufficient evidence to permit recommendations. Physiotherapy is indicated in children only where lobar collapse is documented.

Immunisation

Influenza contributes to some acute asthma exacerbations, and patients with moderate to severe asthma should receive an influenza vaccination every year (evidence level D). However, vaccination does not reduce the frequency or severity of asthma exacerbations (evidence level A). There is no evidence for an increase in asthma exacerbations after vaccination with inactivated trivalent vaccines compared with placebo. People with asthma, particularly children and the elderly, are at higher risk of pneumococcal disease, but there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in people with asthma (evidence level D).

Key strategies to manage poorly controlled asthmatics

Reduction of exposure to avoidable triggers is encouraged, particularly where there is a clear association between exposure and symptoms. Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure is an important avoidable trigger of asthma exacerbations. There is significant evidence of chronic ETS exposure associated with asthma exacerbations in preschool children and suggestive evidence of this in older children (evidence level D). Indoor air pollution...
from the use of alternative fuel sources may be associated with asthma exacerbations. There is sufficient evidence that certain chemicals, such as nitrogen dioxide, increase airway responsiveness, but evidence is less clear with other chemicals. It is also vital to rule out potential comorbidities that could exacerbate asthma symptoms and result in poor asthma control (Table 1).

**Self-management plans and education**

**Adherence**

Adequate adherence to a treatment plan is key for optimal therapeutic benefit. Adherence comprises distinct phases of treatment initiation, implementation (including the correct dosing, frequency and technique of administration) and persistence. A patient-centred approach that delivers holistic, empowering care that is tailored to the individual’s priorities and needs is necessary to support adherence (Table 2).

The responsibility for patient education and support rests with the doctor, and should be shared with other trained healthcare professionals. Patients and parents must be encouraged to participate actively in their own management. The objective of this partnership is to enable asthma patients to gain the knowledge, confidence and skills to assume a major role in the management of their asthma. The partnership is formed and strengthened as patients and their healthcare professionals discuss and agree on the goals of treatment, and develop a personalised, written self-management action plan, including self-monitoring, and periodically review the patient’s treatment and level of asthma control. The ability or willingness of patients to take responsibility varies and thus the information and skills training required by each patient differ. All patients require certain core information and skills, but most education must be personalised.

Educational programmes should be considered a part of routine care of all asthma patients. Asthma self-management education should be integrated into all aspects of asthma care and reinforced at each follow-up visit. Educational programmes significantly improve asthma control, quality of life and lung function testing, and decrease hospitalisation rates (evidence level A). The influence of education is greater in moderate-to-severe than mild-to-moderate asthma (evidence level A). Intensive education is of greater benefit than limited education, and written plans are superior to oral instructions (evidence level A). Education given at follow-up visits is more effective than education during emergency visits (evidence level A).

Culture-specific programmes for asthmatic adults and children are more effective than generic programmes in improving quality of life, asthma knowledge, exacerbations and control (evidence level B). The current evidence base is not sufficient to assess the use of digital self-management, such as smartphone and tablet computer applications for the delivery of asthma self-management programmes.

Strategies for effective education stress that the approach to the patient with an ‘attentive listening technique’ affects success of the intervention (evidence level C). A ‘tell-back’ approach, whereby

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhinoconjunctivitis/sinusitis</td>
<td>Symptoms of itchy, runny or blocked nose, Allergic shiners, recurrent otitis media, pale enlarged turbinates and conjunctivitis</td>
<td>Allergen avoidance, education, intranasal steroids, antihistamines, eye drops and immunotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Prevalence increasing in South African children</td>
<td>Lifestyle modification, exercise and psychosocial management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GORD</td>
<td>Weight-for-age or BMI &gt;2 standard deviations, GORD occurs in 80% of asthmatic children</td>
<td>Proton pump inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis</td>
<td>25% of poorly controlled asthmatics, Total IgE elevated, positive immediate skin test and elevated serum IgE and/or IgG for <em>Aspergillus</em> and central bronchiectasis</td>
<td>Systemic steroids (evidence level C) and antifungals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Comorbidities that may result in poor asthma control**

**Table 2. Methods to improve adherence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioural factors</th>
<th>Educational factors</th>
<th>Other tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence level B</td>
<td>Evidence level B</td>
<td>Evidence level C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help parents to develop a behavioural chart or reward system</td>
<td>Age-appropriate explanation of the disease process and treatment</td>
<td>Asthma diaries to assess symptoms and treatment administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help patients to link the administration of medication with established daily activities (e.g. meal time, brushing of teeth)</td>
<td>Address real or perceived fears regarding side-effects of medication</td>
<td>Dose counters, canister weights and prescription refills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help patients with setting alarms on cell phones or watches</td>
<td>Motivational interviewing by healthcare provider at follow-up visits</td>
<td>Electronic monitoring devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine reasonable, specific goals defined by the patient</td>
<td>Consistent follow-up by the same healthcare provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce patients in a positive manner</td>
<td>Teach parents to offer labelled praise for adherence behaviour and ignore minor misbehaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help patients with introducing visible reminders at home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
education is followed by a request for the patient to repeat the information to the practitioner, fosters better patient understanding (evidence level B). Using open questions and non-judgemental questioning may allow a more accurate measurement of adherence (evidence level C). For example, before questioning, acknowledging that most people have some degree of non-adherence and then asking how often the patient forgets to use their medication, may be more successful than simply asking how often medication is used.

The use of a personalised, written asthma action plan does not improve lung function, but does result in fewer days lost from work and school, fewer emergency department visits and hospital admissions, fewer nocturnal symptoms, less use of rescue medication and better quality of life (evidence level A). Asthma self-management plans may be based on symptoms and/or peak flows (depending on age and ability). Self-management plans based on symptoms are as effective as those based on peak flow measurement (evidence level A).
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Appendix B. Level of evidence
IA Evidence from meta-analysis and randomised controlled trials
IB Evidence from at least one randomised controlled trial
IIB Evidence from at least one controlled trial without randomisation
IIC Evidence from at least one or other quasi-experimental study
IID Evidence from non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-controlled studies
IID Evidence from expert committee reports, opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of recommendation</th>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High-quality research very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate effect based on level I evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate-quality evidence, where future research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate effect. Based on level II evidence or extrapolated from recommendations from level I evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low-quality evidence, where future research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in estimate effect. Based on level III evidence or recommendations from level I and II evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Very-low-quality evidence, where the estimate effect is uncertain. Based on level IV evidence or recommendations from level I, II and III evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>