The dual burden of gender-based violence and HIV in adolescent girls and young women in South Africa

The 16 Days of Activism is an international awareness-raising campaign that promotes no violence against women and children. Each year the campaign runs between 25 November and 10 December and overlaps with World AIDS Day on 1 December. Adopted by South Africa (SA) in 1998, the campaign aims to raise awareness among South Africans about the negative impact of violence against women and children on all members of the community. This campaign is particularly relevant in the SA context, as young women aged 15 - 24 years, who have the least power in society, bear an enormous burden of both intimate partner violence (IPV) and HIV.

Violence against women takes many forms – physical, sexual, economic, and psychological – with IPV being a particularly significant public health problem. Although population-based surveys show that prevalence of IPV among ever-married or partnered women aged 15 - 49 years has declined between 2000 and 2014 in some countries, the global prevalence of recent IPV remains unacceptably high. Globally, about 1 in every 3 women has ever experienced physical and/or sexual violence inflicted by an intimate partner. Interestingly, the prevalence of IPV among young women aged 15 - 19 years is similar (29%) to the average lifetime prevalence of IPV, suggesting that violence commonly starts early in women’s relationships. A study undertaken by the South African Medical Research Council shows that about 1 in every 4 women aged 18 - 49 years in SA has experienced IPV. A recent community-based study in SA among 3 515 children aged 10 - 17 years revealed that 31.2% of adolescent girls had ever experienced physical abuse in their lifetimes, with 8.4% reporting sexual abuse or rape.

Despite being a fundamental violation of women’s human rights, gender-based violence (GBV) is often rooted in socially accepted gender inequality and discrimination and is therefore condoned. The power imbalances between men and women, at both societal and individual relationship levels, are often established during adolescence. Unfortunately, feelings of shame, stigma and discrimination, whether real or perceived, keep women from reporting experiences of violence, and all too often survivors of violence are not effectively supported by health and public services.

Women who have experienced physical or sexual violence by their partners have increased rates of adverse health outcomes, including unwanted pregnancies and adverse maternal and newborn health outcomes, as well as other short- and long-term physical, psychological and social impacts. Teenage pregnancies, an outcome of sexual abuse in some instances, result in curtailment of secondary schooling, leading to vicious cycles of poverty and dependency. In some regions, experiences of IPV have been shown to be an important determinant of women’s HIV risk.

A systematic review that included 28 studies involving 331 468 individuals from 16 countries showed that IPV was associated with a 1.2-fold increased risk of HIV infection among women. In SA, women with violent or controlling male partners were 1.5 times more likely to acquire HIV compared with women who had not experienced partner violence.

GBV is regarded as a major problem in SA communities, and is seen to be exacerbated by unemployment, poverty and alcohol abuse.

Although SA has made significant progress in transforming AIDS from an inevitably fatal condition to one that is chronic and manageable through the use of antiretrovirals, young women continue to experience high rates of new HIV infections. In SA, the prevalence of HIV among adolescent girls and young women is up to six times higher than that of their male peers. One of the reasons for this age-sex disparity in HIV infection rates is that young girls often partner with men 5 or more years older and who are more likely to be living with HIV.

Multiple complex pathways connect IPV with HIV. Gender inequality, the threat of IPV and male controlling behaviour can increase a young woman’s vulnerability to HIV by limiting her ability to successfully negotiate consistent condom use with her male partner(s), insist on mutual monogamy or refuse unwanted sex, thus constraining her ability to control her own HIV risk. Studies have shown that women who have been subjected to GBV often adopt risky behaviors such as alcohol abuse, which in turn can lead to more unprotected sex and an increased risk of acquiring HIV. The fear of IPV can also discourage women from getting tested for HIV and may disrupt HIV prevention services and result in poorer HIV outcomes. The relationship between IPV and HIV is also bidirectional. Some women are at increased risk of IPV following disclosure of their HIV-positive status or following screening during pregnancy. With the implementation of Option B+ in antenatal services, where antiretroviral treatment is initiated on HIV-positive status confirmation in pregnant women, adherence rates decline after delivery, particularly in women who have not disclosed their HIV status to their partner, thereby enhancing their risk of progressing to AIDS and dying.

Numerous interventions aimed at addressing IPV and sexual violence among adolescents have been assessed. A review of the evidence to support these interventions shows that school-based programmes that address dating violence, community-based interventions to promote gender-equitable attitudes, and interventions aimed at adolescents who have been maltreated and at their parents to be the most promising. An example of a successful intervention is the cluster-randomised study in Uganda known as the SASA!, which showed that harmful gender norms can be changed through a community mobilisation intervention. After 4 years there was a 58% reduction in physical IPV in the intervention communities and a significant decrease in the social acceptance of IPV among men and women.

Educational of adolescent girls and young women is regarded as a fundamental intervention to prevent GBV. Keeping girls in school has also been shown to have other beneficial health outcomes, including lower rates of HIV infection, delayed childbearing, lower infant and maternal mortality rates, and improvement of other development outcomes. Novel social protection interventions that provide cash transfers/incentives have been shown in some settings to improve school attendance, decrease risky sexual behaviour and activity and improve income and social opportunities for women.

Given that IPV and HIV are so intimately intertwined, efforts to eliminate GBV/IPV have the potential also to improve sexual and reproductive health outcomes and HIV prevention among adolescent girls. Primary healthcare/antenatal care clinics provide the opportunity to assess GBV among clients and offer post-exposure prophylaxis for those who have experienced GBV, as well as pre-exposure prophylaxis for young girls and women who experience IPV. These clinics could also serve as an opportunity to establish whether pregnancies are planned or unplanned and to quantify what
proportion of pregnancies result from GBV/DP v. unprotected sex with a partner.

Changing the face of these two epidemics will require substantial rethinking and conceptualisation at a structural level on constructions of masculinity and femininity and the value placed on women and their rights. The World Health Organization and UNAIDS have developed programming tools to address violence against women in the context of the epidemic.[44,45] The coercive arm of the law also offers some hope and protection to vulnerable women experiencing both epidemics, but it is social mobilisation and solidarity that will enable true transformation and the real possibility for all women to reach their full potential in a safe and healthy manner.
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1. World Health Organization. Global and Regional Estimates of Violence Against Women and yet is home to <1% of the world's population.[4]
   
2. Four out of the five districts in SA that have an HIV prevalence of >40% among pregnant women are in KwaZulu-Natal Province. The remaining seven districts in KwaZulu-Natal have HIV prevalence rates ranging between 33.7% and 40.0% among pregnant women, compared with the overall prevalence of 30% in SA.[46]
   
3. Young women between the ages of 15 and 24 have up to six times more HIV infection than their male peers, and are experiencing the highest death rates.[47]
   
4. Men and women who have experienced GBV are more likely to have behaviours that increase their risk of acquiring HIV infection.
   
5. Compared with an HIV-negative woman, a woman who discloses her HIV-positive status to a partner of unknown HIV status is six times more HIV infection than their male peers, and are experiencing the highest death rates.[47]
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