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**Statement of clinical relevance**

In osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome (OPPG), skeletal fragility predisposes to frequent fracturing with consequent physical handicap, while the ocular complications result in defective vision or blindness. OPPG has serious clinical consequences and since several South African (SA) Indians have a common geographical origin, it is possible that the determinant gene exists with significant frequency in this population. For this reason, the authors hope to bring this extremely rare disorder to the attention of the SA medical community.

---

**Background**

OPPG (MIM 259770) is a rare autosomal recessive condition that is characterised by severe osteoporosis and eye abnormalities leading to loss of vision. Affected persons present with multiple fractures, and the skeletal manifestations closely resemble those of osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), while hyperplasia of the vitreous, and eye and corneal opacities can mimic an intraocular glioma. These manifestations are progressive, and affected individuals may have considerable physical handicap and visual disturbance.

In 1985, an SA Indian family, in which 4 brothers and 2 sisters had severe osteoporosis and blindness, were reported on in an article: 'The ocular form of osteogenesis imperfecta.' Terminological discussion followed and it was suggested that these individuals had osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome. This article describes and depicts the manifestations of the disorder and discusses the nosology.

---

**Methods**

All investigations were undertaken with full ethical approval in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki as updated in the version promulgated in June 2013, and the Singapore Statement on Research Integrity. During the clinical investigations of individuals with thin bone disorders as a component of a PhD thesis registered in the Division of Human Genetics at the University of Cape Town, two persons with a confirmed diagnosis of OPPG were identified. They were the nephew (SB) and his uncle (BB), who were the only surviving affected members of the family described in 1985. In addition, two SA females (HB and BA) of Indian descent were identified with osteoporosis and ocular problems of unknown origin. All four individuals had a common ancestral origin from the state of Gujarat in India.

---

**AFFECTED PERSON I (SB)**

The affected nephew was born in Durban, SA in 1967. He was blind at birth and in infancy, and hyperplasia of the vitreous was diagnosed by an ophthalmologist. At age 5 years he was admitted to the New Horizon School for the Blind in Pietermaritzburg. His performance at this institution was good and he is currently satisfactorily employed as a radio disc jockey. He was diagnosed with osteogenesis imperfecta at the age in the north-western region of the Indian subcontinent. The kindred were consanguineous and several relatives in India were said to have had the condition. (Fig. 1)

The condition remains rare in SA and no other affected families have been identified in any of the populations of this country or in any other region of sub-Saharan Africa.

**Family background of SB and BB**

The progenitors of the affected persons arrived in SA circa 1890 from Gujarat, the state of Gujarat in India.
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Fig. 1. The pedigree of the affected family.
of 10 years on the basis of radiographic investigations. He recollected having sustained approximately four fractures of his lower limbs, which resulted in limb deformity (Fig. 2).

**Affected person II (BB)**

The affected uncle (BB) was born in 1949 in Durban. He recollected that as a young boy, he was blind in his left eye and only had partial sight in his right eye. At 15 years of age, he became completely blind, and when examined at the age of 63 years he had opacities of the globes of both eyes (Fig. 3). Available clinical reports stated that he had seven fractures of his long bones during childhood that resulted in marked limb deformities (Fig. 4). He became chair bound at the age of 25 years.

**Affected person III (HB)**

HB was the only affected person in her family. She had unaffected parents and three unaffected male siblings. At 19 years of age, she had sustained more than 10 fractures, was 112 cm in height, walked with an aid and was mildly visually impaired (Fig. 5). The nature of her visual disability was unknown and has not yet been investigated.

**Affected person IV (BA)**

BA was 15 years of age, 110 cm in height and walked with an aid (Fig. 6). Despite receiving bisphosphonate therapy, her parents gave a history of BA sustaining more than 50 fractures. Her parents were unaffected and she had a younger unaffected male sibling. BA had mild visual impairment of unknown aetiology.

**Discussion**

HB and BA, were born in SA and, although a definite history of consanguinity could not be established, it may be relevant that the progenitors of both individuals hailed from Gujarat province in India. Individuals HB and BA were not investigated for OPPG, but the possibility that these individuals actually have OPPG cannot be discounted. An attempt by the author to contact their ophthalmologists was unsuccessful. An ophthalmic opinion and further molecular investigations are warranted. The progenitors of all four persons hailed from Gujarat in the north-western region of India. The issue of consanguinity could not be ruled out in the families of HB and BA, but was confirmed in the family of SB and BB.

The association of the clinical features of ocular involvement and bone fragility were initially described in 1931. Other early reports from 1967 to 1986 concentrated predominantly on the clinical presentation of the syndrome. In 1955, Meyer described an atypical form of osteogenesis imperfecta using the designation ‘Lobstein’s disease’ and it has since been suggested that this condition might have been OPPG. There have been
other instances of reported phenotypic confusion betweenOI and OPPG. The disorder has been described in association with mild mental retardation and with congenital heart disease. Other inconsistent manifestations of OPPG have included Wormian bones, frontal bossing and hypertensile joints. Blue sclerae, as in OI, is not a typical feature of OPPG, although this abnormality has been reported in two affected persons.

The condition has a wide geographical distribution and it is present in several disparate countries. Following a review of 21 affected persons in eight families, it was suggested that there was a significant gene frequency in Mediterranean countries. In a study conducted in the USA, the clinical and molecular findings were described in 37 probands, and a population incidence of one in two million was estimated. In 2008, a further nine new cases were reported at the Amish Research Clinic in Strasburg. To date, affected individuals have been documented in France, SA, Greece, India, the USA, and Tunisia.

Gong et al. reported that the OPPG gene was located at chromosome region 11q12-13 on a basis of analysis of 16 DNA samples, including specimens from the SA family. Thereafter, Gong et al. showed that mutations in the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 gene (LRP5) caused OPPG in the SA nephew (SB) and his uncle (BB) and other affected families. Using biological material from the studies of Gong et al., the specific mutation in the SA family was identified as 3804delA. The LRP5 gene affects bone mass accrual during growth, and heterozygous carriers of the mutant gene have reduced bone mass when compared with matched controls. These individuals fail to reach an adequate peak bone mass.

Although in the majority of cases of OPPG there is loss of function of the LRP5 gene, no mutation has been detected in a significant number of cases. Concepts have evolved and OPPG is now regarded as a member of an overlapping disorder, spondylo-ocular syndrome (SOS), which is also associated with eye abnormalities and vertebral compression fractures. Currently, there is no review specifically addressing the patterns of visual impairment in OPPG and SOS.

The general management of OPPG by administration of bisphosphonates and the success thereof have been documented. It has been recommended that patients with OPPG should begin treatment with bisphosphonates in the early years of life. More recently, Arantes et al. provided therapeutic insight into the management of OPPG and supported the rationale for using an osteoanabolic agent. Zhao et al. suggested that increasing LRP5-induced signalling in osteoblasts of persons with OPPG may be beneficial to the treatment of osteopaenia and osteoporosis.

Conclusion

The pedigree of SB and BB showed that six affected members of the family had brittle bones with associated blindness, and these data are consistent with autosomal recessive inheritance. At the time of their diagnosis, genetic counselling, as well as dedicated medical and social care, were the only forms of help that could be offered to these patients and their families. In the SA Indian community, marriages are often consanguineous and it can be postulated that there is a risk that further affected persons could be born in the extended family.

Since the four affected persons depicted in this article had a common ancestry from Gujarat, it seems possible that the determinant gene may be present in significant frequency in SA.

OPPG is similar to OI and SOS in terms of its pathology, and has serious clinical consequences. The improved molecular knowledge of this syndrome over the past decade has facilitated genetic counselling as well as provided successful genetic management options.
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