In the 1980s I read a book by Prabhat Rainjan Sarkar, a social philosopher and political revolutionary. Sarkar proposed a socio-economic Law of Social Cycles, according to which classes within societies naturally change in a sequential manner. Sarkar’s view was that when one class gained dominance, there was a need to avoid the exploitation of the others.

The socioeconomic cycle begins with the hard work of labourers (the cashiers, clerks, waiters, electricians, plumbers, taxi drivers, garbage collectors, truck drivers, security guards and factory workers) who keep society running smoothly. Gradually a warrior society is cycled into existence because labourers need protection. Warrior societies, being inherently stable, promote intellectualism, and an age of intellectuals evolves. Intellectuals’ ideas spread through trade, which in turn leads to acquisition societies. Acquirers are the businessmen, managers, entrepreneurs, bankers, brokers and landlords in society. Not as intelligent as the intellectuals, or as strong as the warriors, they aspire to become (super-) rich. Acquirers recognise neither religious nor ethical restraint to satisfy their greed, and need more labourers to ensure continued production.

According to Ravi Batra, an Indian-American Nobel prize-winning economist and mentee of Sarkar, who bases many of his economic predictions on Sarkar’s Law of Social Cycles, right now the world is stuck in the age of the acquirors, when the rich get richer and the poor get poorer. Because salaries decline, most are forced to adopt the labourers’ way of living; warriors and intellectuals find themselves having to labour harder and suffer themselves and their families, and often undertake overtime work to make ends meet. Ultimately, there exist only the acquirors and the have-nots increasingly get into work to make ends meet. In South Africa (SA), ‘inequality is greater than at the end of apartheid’, according to the Oxfam report Even if Up: Time to End Extreme Inequality, the two richest South Africans hold the same wealth as the bottom half of the population, with the following consequences: extreme inequality [that] corrupts politics, hinders economic growth and stifles social mobility. It fuels crime and even violent conflict. It squanders talent, thwarts potential and undermines the foundations of society. ‘The grim reality is that ‘20% of people earn 75% of the total annual national income, with heads of parastatals and government officials (in particular the President) receiving bloated salaries, while the bottom 80% earn the remaining 25%.’ Social grants provided to some 17 million citizens, which have risen exponentially from 4 million in 1994, and free basic services are the only reason things are not worse.

Against this background it was probably no accident that economist Thomas Piketty, who specialises in the study of economic inequality and believes that SA is ‘top of its class’ in terms of inequality, was invited to deliver the 13th Nelson Mandela Annual Lecture on 3 October 2015. Piketty cited four rights that the SA citizenry should expect: the right to work for a decent wage (SA is working towards the introduction of a national minimum wage to avoid a situation of extreme exploitation of low-skilled workers, particularly in areas of limited opportunity to move), the right to high-quality education, together with the right to adequate public infrastructure, including transportation infrastructure (‘I think it is fair to say that the quality of public, primary education and junior and secondary education that is available to the most disadvantaged groups in this country is not satisfactory, and that this should be a national priority and a lot of progress could be made in this direction’). What has worked in history, in order to have sustainable and equitable growth is to have a well-functioning public education and health system, and SA should go in this direction), the right to access to property (‘I think we need to think again about more ambitious land reform’), and the fourth and last effective right, which has to do with economic and political democracy: ‘I think it’s important in SA, like in other countries in the world, to have new discussions about worker participation in companies and participatory governance’, as happens in Sweden and Germany.

Joseph Stiglitz, another Nobel economics laureate, blames the markets that ‘must be tamed and tempered to make sure they work to the benefit of most citizens’ and the polarisation of wages for the accumulation of wealth and very high incomes among the legendary 1%. Polarisation refers to middle-class jobs disappearing relative to those at the bottom (requiring few skills) of the labour force, and those at the top (requiring greater skill levels). In the developing world, the Credit Suisse research confirms that since 2008 wealth growth has not allowed middle-class numbers to keep pace with population growth, along with a shift in wealth gains in favour of those at higher wealth levels.

Furthermore, as intimated above, there is discrimination and polarisation on a gender basis with regard to wages. A recent UK report suggests that women graduating in law and joining a legal practice in 2015 can expect to earn between GBP8 000 and 24 000 less per annum than their male counterparts, despite the passing of the Equal Pay Act nearly half a century ago. An American comedienne, with her tongue not-so-firmly in her cheek, refers to this phenomenon as a ‘vagina tax’. Women in SA earn nearly a third less than men on average, according to the latest tax statistics published by the South African Revenue Service. Research by the International Trade Union Confederation and Incomes Data Services puts the average global gender wage gap at 22.4% … and SAs, at 33.5%, is at the top end of the gap. This gap can be explained by
‘gender sorting,’ according to the World Bank’s gender and development unit, in a report titled *Gender at Work – women are concentrated in less-productive jobs and run enterprises in less-productive sectors with fewer opportunities for business scale-up or career advancement*. According to the Institute of Race Relations’ South Africa Survey 2014/2015,[16][17] 30% of managers and slightly more than 40% of professionals are women, but the largest numbers of women are employed as clerks, in sales and service, and in low-skilled jobs such as domestic workers.

Which brings me to ‘girls and women beware.’ When the acquisitors are dominant the status of women is low, not least as a result of the prevalence of domestic violence and pornography. There are other societal ills: a breakdown of the family unit due to divorce; rampant (including white collar) crime and disrespect for the rule of law; loose morals and high rates of prostitution; neglect of the children and the elderly; a general aversion to mental and physical discipline; a culture of ‘supermaternalism’ and consumerism and a thriving drug culture. Everything, including art, religion, music and sports, is commercialized. There is educational decline, intellectual dishonesty and the spread of dogma. Acquisitive politicians dominate politics, but may be willing to share power with labourers.[18]

SA has the highest rate of women killed by their intimate partners (intimate partner violence (IPV)) in the world.[19] This is confirmed by research on IPV for the Alan J Flisher Centre for Public Mental Health, compiled by gender-based violence nursing expert Dr Kate Joyner of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at Stellenbosch University and Simonne Honikman from the University of Cape Town, in an effort to raise awareness about femicide.[20]

This journal has regularly published research on such ‘rule of the fist’ violence against women and children[21-25] (search ‘gender violence’ , ‘gender violence, children’ and ‘intimate partner violence’), is doing so in the current issue[26] and will do so again.[27] Notably, a letter to the Editor by Dr Ravi Batra, in 2012 pleaded for ‘more focus on children as victims of domestic violence, on the risk factors for such abuse, and the knowledge and skills to recognise signs and symptoms of child abuse . . .’. The good news perhaps, according to Batra,[21] is that there is increasing awareness of the social ills that dominate by the acquisitors creates: ‘disgruntled intellectuals and warriors, displaced into the labour class, reach a point where they are moved to take action and join forces with the masses to bring about change. The acquisitors, having lost their credibility, must contemplate a lower status.’ We might question whether we are arguably witnessing just such a transformation.[28][29]

Janet Seggie

*Editor*

janet.seggie@hmpg.co.za

---