Where have all the gun deaths gone?
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Background. The low number of firearm assaults and overall assault-related deaths in Statistics South Africa’s death notification reports is incongruous with other recently released data, including police crime statistics.

Methods. We conducted a review of all gunshot injuries recorded in death notifications from 1997 to 2013, including all cases in which the underlying cause of death was ascribed to cause-specific codes in the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) that referred to a gunshot injury.

Results. We identified 105,694 gunshot-related injury deaths over the 17-year period, an average of 6,217 per annum. The total annual number of gunshot injuries increased from 1997 to 2000, at which point firearm-related deaths peaked at 9,540 recorded cases. Thereafter there was a steadily decreasing trend (interrupted only in 2006 and 2008) until 2011, when 3,793 deaths were attributed to gunshot-related injuries as the underlying cause—a decrease of >60% from the peak in 2000.

Conclusion. The cause-specific profile for gunshot injury deaths in this study indicated extensive misclassification, which explained the near-absence of these injuries among assault cases. However, the trend in gunshot-related injury deaths irrespective of intent provides further support for the hypothesis that stricter gun control, coinciding with the implementation of the Firearms Control Act of 2000, accounts for this decrease.


Gun violence denialists recently dismissed the findings of two studies presented at a seminar co-hosted by Gun Free South Africa, the Institute for Security Studies and the African Policing Civilian Oversight Forum. Abrahams et al.1,2 compared the profile of female homicides from two representative national samples collected in 1999 and 2009 and Matzopoulos et al.3 presented surveillance data from South Africa (SA)’s five major cities from 2001 to 2005, the period for which comprehensive injury mortality surveillance data were available. Both studies concurred that there had been a significant reduction in firearm homicides relative to other types of homicide, which coincided with stricter gun control espoused by the Firearms Control Act of 2000 (FCA), and that this, in the absence of any other plausible explanation or identified confounding factors, was the probable cause of the decrease.

The denialists took exception to the source of the data – both studies used postmortem examinations that have been shown to provide a more comprehensive mortality profile for occupational injuries,10 female homicides,11 railway injuries12 and road injuries13 than official sources. Instead they referred to Statistics South Africa (SSA)’s death notifications data that ostensibly offered conflicting results. Their disquiet may have arisen from their interpretation of SSA’s 2014 release Mortality and Causes of Death in South Africa 2013: Findings from Death Notification,14 which describes gun deaths as being involved in only 1.7% of assault-related deaths (i.e. homicides) and has been touted by denialists to downplay the importance of firearms in homicide.15,16 Despite this report ironically seeming to provide further evidence of the FCAs having effected a decrease, the low number of firearm assaults and overall assault-related deaths is incongruous with other recently released data including police crime statistics,17,18 a recent survey of injury mortality from postmortem investigations19 and data provided by the National Department of Health (NDoH) in response to a question in the National Assembly.20

Methods

We conducted a review of all gunshot injuries recorded in SSA death notifications from 1997 to 2013. We included all cases in which the underlying cause of death was ascribed to cause-specific codes in the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underlying cause</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W32</td>
<td>Accidental handgun discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W33</td>
<td>Accidental rifle, shotgun and larger firearm discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W34</td>
<td>Accidental discharge from other and unspecified firearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X72</td>
<td>Intentional self-harm by handgun discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X73</td>
<td>Intentional self-harm by rifle, shotgun and larger firearm discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X74</td>
<td>Intentional self-harm by other and unspecified firearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X93</td>
<td>Assault by handgun discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X94</td>
<td>Assault by rifle, shotgun and larger firearm discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X95</td>
<td>Assault by other and unspecified firearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y22</td>
<td>Undetermined intent: handgun discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y23</td>
<td>Undetermined intent: rifle, shotgun and larger firearm discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y24</td>
<td>Undetermined intent: other and unspecified firearm discharge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Injury-related ICD-10 codes corresponding with gunshot injuries included in SA death notification
(ICD-10)\textsuperscript{13} that referred to a gunshot injury, whether intentionally (including self-harm and assault) or unintentionally inflicted or inflicted with undetermined intent (Table 1).

**Results**

We identified 105 694 gunshot-related injury deaths over the 17-year period, an average of 6 217 per annum. The total annual number of gunshot injuries increased from 1997 to 2000, at which point firearm-related deaths peaked at 9 540 recorded cases. Thereafter there was a steadily decreasing trend (interrupted only in 2006 and 2008) until 2011, when 3 793 deaths were attributed to gunshot-related injuries as the underlying cause – a decrease of >60% from the peak in 2000 (Fig. 1). Deaths from gunshot-related injuries increased in 2012 and again in 2013 – the first consecutive year-on-year increases since 2000.

Intentionally inflicted gunshot injuries, whether from self-harm or assault, accounted for just 2.2% of gunshot-related injury deaths over the study period. Until 2006, most gunshot-related injury deaths (between 76% and 94% annually) were recorded as due to undetermined intent, a category that accounted for <1% of all gunshot-related deaths from 2008 onwards (Table 2). In contrast, unintentional gunshot injury deaths resulting from ‘accidental discharge’ increased from 5 - 27% of all gunshot-related deaths occurring annually between 1997 and 2006 to 89 - 99% from 2007.

**Discussion**

This study clearly shows that firearms remain an important cause of injury-related mortality and should be considered as providing a minimum estimate, given that complete cause-of-death information is not always provided in death notification. The cause-specific profile for gunshot injury deaths in this study indicates that extensive misclassification is the reason for the near-absence of these injuries among assault cases. There can be no other explanation for the arbitrary reallocation of gunshot injuries from undetermined to unintentional causes other than the application of a new coding rule from 2007, which was alluded to in the 2010 death notification report.\textsuperscript{14}

The allocation of injury deaths with limited information on intent to unintentional causes has been observed in national\textsuperscript{12} and provincial studies\textsuperscript{15} and is also a common cause of information loss for injury-related mortality data internationally.\textsuperscript{16} A recent study in the Western Cape, which linked death notification forms with postmortem investigation data from mortuaries, showed that homicides generally, and gunshot injuries in particular, were prone to misclassification.\textsuperscript{15}

National and international burden of mortality estimations (e.g. the National Burden of Disease Study in SA and the Global Burden of Disease Study) adjust and model death registration data to account for underreporting and misclassification and to reallocate poorly defined ‘garbage codes’\textsuperscript{17,18}. For the second National Burden of Disease Study, the South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC) conducted a nationally representative retrospective descriptive study of medicolegal postmortem investigation data from mortuaries for 2009 to provide a more accurate cause-specific injury profile. This study estimated that 98% of firearm deaths were intentionally inflicted and that most of these deaths (88%) were homicides,\textsuperscript{12} which is consistent with statistics compiled by the NDoH.\textsuperscript{12}

![Fig. 1. Annual gunshot deaths for all causes in SA, 1997 - 2013 (N=105 694).](image-url)
The predominance of homicides (i.e. assault-related deaths) in these data means that in considering the mortality trend for gunshot injuries in death registration, it is acceptable to ignore the cause-specific profile. The overall trend is consistent with the female homicide and urban police officials, all of which points to lax enforcement and easy access to firearms being the cause of the increase. It included provisions for restrictions and prohibition on particular types of firearm, and background checks to establish the physical and mental capacity of potential owners to use a firearm responsibly. Its promulgation was followed by increased hand-ins of previously licensed weapons, amenities for illegal weapons, an audit of state-owned firearms and special operations to recover unlicensed weapons. According to gun law expert Martin Hood, it was from April 2003 that police had instituted a more restrictive licensing regimen, which saw a 70 - 80% reduction in firearm licences being issued.

It is unclear exactly which aspect of the FCA may have been most instrumental in causing the decrease. However, the increasing number of gunshot-related deaths for 2012 and 2013, which is confirmed by NDoH data, corresponds with the police fast-tracking the finalisation of more than a million firearm-related applications for firearm licences, licence renewals for individuals and tracking the finalisation of more than a million firearm-related death registration. It is acceptable to ignore the cause-specific profile. The FCA's unambiguous intent was to reduce the number of firearms in the country. It included provisions for restrictions and prohibition on particular types of firearm, and background checks to establish the physical and mental capacity of potential owners to use a firearm responsibly. Its promulgation was followed by increased hand-ins of previously licensed weapons, amenities for illegal weapons, an audit of state-owned firearms and special operations to recover unlicensed weapons. According to gun law expert Martin Hood, it was from April 2003 that police had instituted a more restrictive licensing regimen, which saw a 70 - 80% reduction in firearm licences being issued.
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